The Vanderburgh County Drainage Board met in session this 6th day of October, 2015 at 6:07 p.m. in Room 301 of the Civic Center Complex with President Bruce Ungethiem presiding.

**Call to Order**

President Ungethiem: I would like to call to order the October 6, 2015 Vanderburgh County Drainage Board meeting.

**Pledge of Allegiance**

President Ungethiem: Joe, would you lead us in the Pledge?

(The Pledge of Allegiance was given.)

**Approval of the September 15, 2015 Drainage Board Meeting Minutes**

President Ungethiem: First order of business is approval of previous meeting minutes. I would entertain a motion to do that.

Commissioner Kiefer: Well, since Commissioner Melcher wasn't here, I'll move to approve.

President Ungethiem: I will second. Roll call.

Madelyn Grayson: Commissioner Kiefer?

Commissioner Kiefer: Yes.

Madelyn Grayson: Commissioner Melcher?

Commissioner Melcher: Abstain.

Madelyn Grayson: President Ungethiem?

President Ungethiem: Yes.

(Motion approved 2-0. Commissioner Melcher abstained.)

President Ungethiem: Alright, that’s approved.

**St. Mary's Northside Crossing**

President Ungethiem: Next on the agenda, Jeff, is St. Mary’s Northside Crossing.

Jeff Mueller: Okay, you've got on your picture the area, the proposed area, the proposed subdivision. St. Mary’s Northside is going through the process of platting a minor subdivision in the area of U.S. 41, Inglefield Road and Old State Road. The subdivision will have two lots, one will be an R-3 and the other being a commercial zoning. The second lot will require the rezoning that, the commercial one. The drainage code states that minor subdivisions CO through M-3 require a drainage plan. I’m not sure if this really applies to this subdivision, as it will be a mixed zoning, but just to keep things clean, what the request is that you have before you is that a drainage plan for the subdivision would not be required, but a drainage plan for the lots would be required as they are developed. By doing this it assists in moving the subdivision forward with the understanding that once St. Mary’s comes through with their proposed new building, that they would submit a drainage plan for the lot at that time. Then further down the
road, if the lot that’s zoned R-3 is ever changed zoning and developed commercially, they would have to submit a drainage plan, if they fall under the criteria for the requirements of the drainage code. They’ve already got the minor sub in, they’re kind of waiting on it. So, what I’ve told them is, you know, you’ll either have to put something to the effect on the plat that no zoning, or that, you know, that you guys waived the requirement for the drainage plan for the sub, but you’re still going to require it for the individual lots. That way they can move forward with that plat. Just asking for approval on this. Like I said, you’ve got a little letter from, actually an e-mail from it, from them requesting it.

President Ungethiem: Jeff, the piece that we are looking at is not this whole triangle piece?

Jeff Mueller: No, it’s this piece right here. It stops down in here somewhere, Bruce, I believe.

President Ungethiem: Both edges, or both corners of the triangle are cut off?

Commissioner Kiefer: No, it excludes those three houses.

Jeff Mueller: No, actually, up here, sorry.

Commissioner Kiefer: No, it does include them.

Jeff Mueller: The three houses are included, yes.

Commissioner Kiefer: That’s right.

President Ungethiem: They are?

Jeff Mueller: Yeah.

Commissioner Kiefer: That’s the second lot.

Jeff Mueller: But, those are the R-3. That’s what’s going to be R-3.

President Ungethiem: Okay.

Jeff Mueller: They’re just going to stay. They’re being rezoned to R-3, so that you can have more than one house on a lot.

President Ungethiem: But, they’re not changing ownership?

Jeff Mueller: No.

President Ungethiem: Okay.

Jeff Mueller: No.

President Ungethiem: I was thinking their ownership, that’s going to stay, those two corner pieces are staying in the same ownership.

Jeff Mueller: Yeah, I think the only thing that’s, the one house here, I believe, it’s planned to be torn down, I think.

President Ungethiem: Right.

Jeff Mueller: But, these were all going to be one ownership, one lot.

Commissioner Kiefer: They’re staying.

Jeff Mueller: Yeah.

President Ungethiem: Yeah.

Jeff Mueller: So, like I said, they’ll still be coming back for a drainage plan when St. Mary’s comes through.

Commissioner Kiefer: I’m going to abstain from this, so I’m not going to make a motion, a first or a second.
President Ungethiem: So, what you’re asking is a motion to approve—

Jeff Mueller: Not having a submitted drainage plan for the subdivision, but they would still have to submit the drainage plan for the individual lots.

President Ungethiem: For the individual lot?

Jeff Mueller: Yes.

President Ungethiem: Okay.

Jeff Mueller: Like I said, I’m not even sure if it’s required, because it’s half mixed, but I thought, let’s just make this, do it this way and then it’s clean, so nobody, so that we’ve got it set that way.

Commissioner Melcher: Okay, that’s what you want?

Jeff Mueller: Yes.

Commissioner Melcher: I’ll vote yes, or make the motion to approve.

President Ungethiem: I’ll second. Roll call.

Madelyn Grayson: Commissioner Kiefer?

Commissioner Kiefer: Abstain.

Madelyn Grayson: Commissioner Melcher?

Commissioner Melcher: Yes.

Madelyn Grayson: President Ungethiem?

President Ungethiem: Yes.

(Motion approved 2-0. Commissioner Kiefer abstained.)

President Ungethiem: One note on that, I’ve talked to the people who are doing this development, they are, and, I guess, John, this is more for your benefit than for the drainage, they are going to put a road all the way from Old State, or their plan is to put a road all the way from Old State to Inglefield Road, but it’s not a through road, quote-unquote. I’ve got a feeling that when that road goes in there, it’s going to be a through road whether they like it to be or not. So, we may want to watch for that and make sure that that road has the proper construction and that kind of thing, in case in the future we wind up owning it.

John Stoll: Okay.

I had also asked for an additional right-of-way dedication along the south side of Inglefield Road, because dating back to when North High School got built out there, one of the roads that we had received complaints on was Inglefield because of the increased traffic, and that’s not currently part of the TIF master plan for that area, but as the TIF revenues increase, to me, it seems like a likely candidate that it would need reconstructed in the future. So, I did ask for the additional right-of-way as well.

President Ungethiem: Okay. Alright.

| Walmart: Final Drainage Plan: Continued from 8/11/2015 |

Jeff Mueller: Walmart, final drainage plan continued from August 11, 2015. As you are aware, concerns addressing drainage along Boonville-New Harmony Road and ensuring that the piping under the road is adequate, and that was the major issue that was brought up when reviewing the drainage plan for the site itself. The final drainage plan for the site is not so much an issue from what I understood as the road drainage. John and I have both been working on this together. We’ve been burning the e-mails up back and forth, and I’m going to let John address the status of this a little bit more, he’s a little bit more involved in it than I have been.
John Stoll: Like Jeff said, we’ve been sending the consultants some e-mails with questions and comments regarding their drainage evaluation. So far they have increased the pipe diameters in a number of locations along Boonville-New Harmony. We’re just trying to get all of the final details worked out at this point. I’ve had a few questions regarding the drainage calculations that we still need some answers on, and then I’d asked some questions about the layout of the pipes and elevations and how things all fit together and whether or not they can make some changes. So, I think we’re getting pretty close, but there’s still some final details that have to be worked out. As recently as late yesterday Jeff and I were sending e-mails to Manhart Consulting to ask for some changes and clarifications.

President Ungethiem: Do you think that they will be here at the next Drainage Board meeting?

John Stoll: I would think so.

President Ungethiem: Okay.

John Stoll: I think we’re pretty close.

Jeff Mueller: Yeah.

President Ungethiem: Of course, we thought that this week.

John Stoll: Exactly.

President Ungethiem: I understand.

John Stoll: It seems like we’re down to just a handful of issues. So, I hope they will be taking care of it then.

President Ungethiem: On behalf of the people who live on the south side of Boonville-New Harmony Road, let’s stick to our guns and make sure we get the proper drainage through that area.

Jeff Mueller: One of the things that we did was, you know, made sure that the drainage area that was defined was correct. It’s been enlarged from what they proposed. That came about, Mr. Markwell is here, he showed me some things out there and I also went out and talked to some of the other neighbors and walked a few ditches and got back to them and said, no, you’ve got to increase the area. So, I feel a lot more comfortable about the drainage area that’s being put through there, that’s being calculated for going through there now than the original thing that was shown.

President Ungethiem: Okay. Good.

Family Bible: Final Drainage Plan

President Ungethiem: Next item is Family Bible, final drainage plan.

Jeff Mueller: Okay, I could not get a picture of this because the GIS system wasn’t working today. So, this is a plan for a proposed construction of a church off of Husky Way, just south of Baseline Road. So, you’ve got Husky Way coming in off of Baseline. The project consists of construction of a church, parking lot and the necessary drainage structures. The final drainage plan was submitted on June 16, 2015, with revisions submitted on August 24, 2015 and September 29, 2015. The plan that is requested to be approved consists of the revised submitted document with a receipt date of August 24, 2015, along with the revised drawings one, two, and C-106 with the same receipt date, and drawings C-101 with a receipt date of September 29, 2015. In addition, a small amount of area is leaving the church property undetained into the storm water system for Husky Way. Normally the County Engineer and I review these requests and decide if we have any issues with the request, such as that is water leaving and going directly in the storm water system. However, in this case, Husky Way is not a county road, but a private road maintained by the School Corporation. Likewise, the storm system along the road is the School Corporation’s. Therefore, we requested, actually we required a sign off from the School Corporation. In addition, though not related to the
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drainage plan, the church needed permission to bore under the road for either water or sewer or both. I'm not exactly sure. So, you have in your package, and it will be included as part any approved drainage plan, an agreement between the church and the School Corporation that was executed on September 23, 2015. Also, I requested a notice to be sent to the adjoining landowner, which also happens to be the prior owner of the church property as well, notifying them of tonight's meeting, since the outlet from the basin will be discharging within about 30 feet of the property line. I wanted this on file in case there was any issues in regard to this discharge, which is small, but this way the folks at least know that, you know, hey, you know, they had a chance to come to the meeting and find out what's going on. But, like I said, they're the ones that sold the property, so, I wouldn't think they would. In the drainage plan the owner is requesting one variance to not provide an easement for the detention basin, as the basin is located on the subject property and serves only this property. I have no issues with this variance request. I've provided you with a copy of the review of the submitted drainage plan and request that the review document be made part of the approved final drainage plan. The drainage plan was reviewed by the County Surveyor, and with the requested variance is found to be in compliance with Vanderburgh County’s drainage code, and therefore is being submitted to the Drainage Board for approval under section 13.04.090. Mr. Glen Meritt from Cash Waggner is here if you've got any questions of him. It's a pretty simple plan. I don't see any problems with it.

President Ungethiem: Questions?

Commissioner Melcher: Is there anybody here to speak to this?

President Ungethiem: Oh, is there anybody here in the audience that would like to speak on this issue?

Joe Harrison, Jr.: Did you actually have the right—

Jeff Mueller: I don't have a slide for this because the GIS, I couldn’t get it to pull up today.

Joe Harrison, Jr.: Oh, that's what you said.

Jeff Mueller: Yeah.

President Ungethiem: This is, let me see if I remember, as you go down Baseline Road and turn right into Husky Way, it's on the left hand?

Jeff Mueller: And it's the last property before you hit the school property.

President Ungethiem: Okay.

Jeff Mueller: There's a new nursing home going in, then there's the lot that the people that sold the church to still own, and then there's this lot.

President Ungethiem: Okay. So, it's closest to the football stadium?

Jeff Mueller: Yes.

President Ungethiem: Okay.

Commissioner Melcher: I make a motion to approve.

Commissioner Kiefer: Second.

President Ungethiem: I've got a motion and a second. Any further discussion? Roll call please.

Madelyn Grayson: Commissioner Kiefer?

Commissioner Kiefer: Yes.

Madelyn Grayson: Commissioner Melcher?

Commissioner Melcher: Yes.
Madelyn Grayson: President Ungethiem?

President Ungethiem: Yes.

(Motion approved 3-0)

President Ungethiem: Thank you, Jeff.

Creekside Meadows: Section 4: Final Drainage Plan

Jeff Mueller: Next on the agenda is Creekside Meadows, Section 4, final drainage plan. This submittal is for approval of a final drainage plan for a proposed addition of 50 lots in the Creekside Meadows Subdivision, which is located east of Petersburg Road and south of the intersection of Petersburg and Green River Road. So, I've got that up there now. A final drainage plan was approved for the entire Creekside Meadows Subdivision on April 26, 2005. However, since that time there has been a change in the layout of the lots. A revised preliminary drainage plan for this section of the subdivision was approved by this Board on September 23, 2014. The subdivision will require construction of a third retention pond that was originally planned in the overall drainage plan. This basin will only be partially constructed at this time, with expansion required with additional sections of this subdivision. This basin is south of the Section 4 area and will also require construction of a temporary ditch. Both the temporary ditch and basin will be housed in off-site drainage easements, as required by the code. The final drainage plan was submitted on September 21, 2015, with revisions submitted on October 1, 2015. The plan that is requested to be approved consists of the submitted document dated September 21, 2015 and revised drainage reports submitted October 1, 2015, along with the following drawings; drawings one, two, C-113 and C-114 submitted September 21, 2015 and drawings three, C-101 and C-109 submitted on October 1, 2015. As this is a final drainage plan submittal for a residential subdivision under section 13.04.460, the developer will be utilizing the plan B, the repair fund set up by the county to maintain all pipes not within the county road right-of-ways. I've provided you with a copy of the review of the submitted drainage plan and request that the review document be made part of the approved final drainage plan. The drainage plan was reviewed by the County Surveyor and with the requested, there actually wasn't a requested variance, found to be in compliance with Vanderburgh County's drainage code, and therefore is being submitted to the Drainage Board for approval under section 13.04.090. Mr. Meritt is here also to address any questions you might have about this.

President Ungethiem: Any questions from the Board? Anyone in the audience that would wish to speak on this drainage plan? I would entertain a motion?

Commissioner Kiefer: I'll make a motion to approve.

Commissioner Melcher: Second.

President Ungethiem: We have a motion and a second. Any other comments? Roll call please.

Madelyn Grayson: Commissioner Kiefer?

Commissioner Kiefer: Yes.

Madelyn Grayson: Commissioner Melcher?

Commissioner Melcher: Yes.

Madelyn Grayson: President Ungethiem?

President Ungethiem: Yes.

(Motion approved 3-0)

Jeff Mueller: Just so you know, when I read through all that stuff and you're wondering why all the dates and the drawing numbers and stuff, a lot of times we get drawings, then we get a revised drawing, this way we have, in the record, what maps, what pieces
of paper were actually approved so that we know that when we go back to the drainage file what’s, I mean, we package that way, but it’s also in the record what’s been submitted and what’s been approved. So, sorry for those long, windy paragraphs sometimes.

President Ungethiem: I thought you were just giving Madelyn more to type.

Jeff Mueller: I was trying to get the ratings up too.

Madelyn Grayson: They’re really fun to transcribe too.

Jeff Mueller: Everybody’s at the Fall Festival tonight. Nobody’s watching probably.

President Ungethiem: Can you submit that electronically to Madelyn, so she doesn’t have to retype it?

Jeff Mueller: I’ve got it electronically.

President Ungethiem: That would be a whole lot easier.

---

Enclave at Eagle Cliff: Status Report

President Ungethiem: Okay, next on the agenda is Enclave at Eagle Cliff status report.

Jeff Mueller: Okay, to recap, an inspection was made and reported to the Drainage Board at the June 9, 2015 meeting. At that meeting a summary of the inspection was presented, which highlighted the completed site work, as well as a list of additional work that was required. The Drainage Board voted to allow moving ahead with platting some additional lots as a result of the progress that had been made, and with the understanding that with continued progress on the site that the subdivision would be in much better shape. A site visit was made on August 5th and again on August 28th, which at that time there had been little or no additional work on the swales or any other grading or remediation work and the basins required maintenance. This led to a request by the Board to have the developer appear on the September 1st board meeting. The Board requested of the developer at that time a schedule of work to be completed in the following six weeks, and a letter from Superior Ag was to be delivered by September 8th. Also, a copy of the report to IDEM, with correspondence regarding the Bolin lake was to be provided. An inspection was to be made by the County Engineer and County Surveyor prior to tonight’s meeting, and that inspection occurred on Friday, October 2, 2015. That’s what we’re going to kind of looking at here. I just kind of read off that, okay, what I have on this first slide is a picture on the left taken by the County Engineer on his way to another site, showing the ditch along Felstead Road on September 29, 2015, right after the only rainfall we probably had in the last 40 days, I think. Also, on the slide we have an obvious failure by basin #2 where water is breaching the erosion control right here. Kind of a summary on what needs to be done as follow-ups, and we’ll highlight these issues in the next slides. Basin #1 needs continued maintenance and some minor repair work. The area feeding the basin needs better stand of vegetation, especially along the feeder ditch. Basin #2 needs continued maintenance. The interior ditches need to be completed and stabilized, and permanent vegetation needs to be established. So, if you remember, we had a real nice looking basin on June 2015, this is basin #1. Then this was August 5th. This is our visit on October 2nd. Okay? The, as you can see, there’s maintenance that needs to be done, and, you know, unfortunately, it’s unfortunate that the basin doesn’t look like it did in June, you know, that we need some more maintenance there. The next slide, on the left shows the feeder ditch into basin #1 and the commercial area to the north. On the feeder ditch it appears it’s been dug and it looks like it’s a nice looking ditch. It’s been strawed and matted, and it’s been installed and realizing we haven’t had a lot of rain, so the grass can’t pop up there. Unfortunately, the areas next to the ditch appear bare and unseeded. So, even if we get a nice, green stand here, we’re still going to have some areas running off into that ditch and getting some mud in there. The commercial area to the north, this was an area that used to have water standing and when it filled up it ran over the sides and into Felstead Road. Mr. Stemaly constructed a down drain pipe in there that works, so far has worked very well, but we needed to do now, and I think he even put us a little bit of extra sod in
there. What we need to do there is get that area greened up again, so that we don’t have muddy runoff coming from this area. The next three slides are the same. For basin #2 we’ve got a June slide, an August and an October. So, there’s June, and there’s August, and there’s October.

Commissioner Kiefer: Can you run through those again one more time?
Jeff Mueller: I don’t know if I can back up or not, Joe.
Commissioner Kiefer: If you can’t, don’t worry about it.
Jeff Mueller: Okay, let me get to the, yeah, well, wait a minute.
President Ungethiem: Previous.
Jeff Mueller: Previous?
President Ungethiem: Yeah.
Jeff Mueller: What happened to previous?
President Ungethiem: The second one.
Joe Harrison, Jr.: There you go.
Jeff Mueller: Okay.
Commissioner Kiefer: Okay, so this is June?
Jeff Mueller: This was right before the board meeting. Okay? I’m sorry, I’ll go slower, there’s August.
Commissioner Kiefer: That’s the same basin?
Jeff Mueller: Basin, uh-huh. This is last week.
President Ungethiem: You’re looking at it from an opposite end.
Jeff Mueller: Yeah.
Commissioner Kiefer: So, are you saying, what’s your statement? That it’s deteriorated?
Jeff Mueller: Yes.
Commissioner Kiefer: Okay.

Jeff Mueller: Next you have a swale that’s shown under construction. This was in late August, you can see some work has started. You can see when we were out there last Friday they were doing some work on this swale, which is welcome. This is one of the swales that needs to be finished up. This swale feeds into basin #2. Another picture of some swales along the, that need to be worked on. The first one, if you’re standing on Stellar Drive, here’s the apartment complex, so you’re standing on the street, this is looking north, and the other one is looking south. We’ve got some work that needs to be done, there’s standing water through this, it’s just not draining properly and the side slopes aren’t done. Okay? The last picture, this is kind of, you know, again, back to what we’re really trying to do is if we got grass and green out there, we’ll get clean water coming off. A very good example of some vegetation that’s taken place on the south side of the property. This is on the west side of the property. This is some of that same area that was, where I showed you earlier where the water was going over some of the erosion control structure. We’ve got erosion along here too. So, seeding will go, you know, going back and fixing this up and then seeding, maintaining the basins, getting these things done will do a lot to getting this subdivision in much better shape. So, to summarize, moving forward, maintenance of the basins, especially basin one should be
a high priority; the swales need to be completed, seeded and have proper erosion control measures installed; establishment of permanent vegetation to reduce sediment runoff, especially in critical areas should proceed. That’s basically comments from our inspection. John, do you have anything you want to add? I know there’s some folks here that probably want to address the Board, so, I’ll get out of the way unless you’ve got questions of me at this time.

President Ungethiem: Thanks, Jeff. Please state your name for the record.

Jim Johnson: Jim Johnson with the law firm of Jackson Kelly, and I’m representing Eagle Enclave at this time. Addressing the action items that have been put up here, Jeff Stemaly is here on behalf of Eagle Enclave. I met with Mr. Mueller earlier, and you also, Mr. Ungethiem, and understood what these problems are and we are ready to present a plan to address each and every one of these concerns. Basins #1 and #2, now one of the problems that caused this was there was a lack of rain. There was a lot of rain in June and July, and then there was a lack of rain and that created part of the problem, but we are committed within the next two weeks to go in and provide the maintenance that is needed for basins #1 and #2. We are also committed, within the next two weeks, to go in and provide the maintenance that’s needed to put the swales back where they were, to have them seeding and have the proper erosion control. Now, the remaining schedule is, the sanitary sewers will be completed on October 23rd. The storm sewers are going in between October 26th and November 6th. The H2O, the water lines are November 9th through November 20th. We will, on October 30th begin trimming the roads, and then the final earth work will be done, and that will be establishing the permanent vegetation to reduce the sediment and runoff. That will begin on October 30th. So, we have a plan to address the specific items, and then we have a schedule of when each and every item will be completed. Once we do the final grading we can do the curbs for the roads, the backfill and the grading. There was a mention of the Mrs. Bolin, the issue with the pond, and we have provided the materials that we have to Mrs. Bolin’s counsel, Don Fuchs. Are there any questions of either myself or Mr. Stemaly?

Commissioner Kiefer: Jim, I’ve gotta say thank you for giving those specific dates. That’s really good. I mean, that in itself is some good progress. So, thanks for outlining those specific dates.

Jim Johnson: Okay.

President Ungethiem: I would ask that those dates that you have given us, that you would put in the form of a letter and submit those to the Drainage Board, and to the County Surveyor so that we can have a record of those dates. My concern is that your dates for completion of permanent vegetation is very late in October, and if we get a cold snap in the first part of November, the chances of getting germination and getting a good stand of grass before winter is going to be difficult.

Jim Johnson: We will do everything that we can before then. It’s only the items that will be disrupted through these other items that we will wait until the end of October. I understand your concern, that is late to seed.

President Ungethiem: Any area that is not going to be eventually covered by a house or sod should have permanent vegetation on it now. There, you know, that way we won’t have that erosion problem, we won’t continue to have sediment eroding into the basins and then on into other people’s properties. I understand that the lots that are going to eventually be developed into housing, you may not want to put permanent vegetation on that, because it’s just going to be disturbed when the house is built, but any area that’s not going to be disturbed by a housing start should have permanent vegetation on it this year.

Jim Johnson: Okay, thank you.

President Ungethiem: Now, you mentioned that you would submit that schedule to us. When can we expect that?

Jim Johnson: I can take care of it tomorrow.

President Ungethiem: Okay.
Jim Johnson: Joe, I may need to contact you as to how I send it. Can I send it to Joe?
Joe Harrison, Jr.: You can send it to—
Jim Johnson: Or to the Drainage Board?
Joe Harrison, Jr.: -- Jeff Mueller and then he'll send it, he'll forward it on.
President Ungethiem: Send it to Jeff, and he'll forward it to us.
Joe Harrison, Jr.: I'm going to be out the next couple of days.
Jim Johnson: So, just one letter to Jeff will be fine?
President Ungethiem: Yes.
Jim Johnson: Okay, I'll take care of it. Any other questions?
President Ungethiem: The other thing that I would like to request is we have a Drainage Board meeting on October the 20th, and we have another on November—
Joe Harrison, Jr.: Is it the 10th?
President Ungethiem: Is it the 9th or 10th?
Madelyn Grayson: November 10th.
President Ungethiem: I would like to have a status update on both of those dates as to where we are against the schedule.
Jim Johnson: Alright, just a second please. October 20th I will not be in town. I'm available November 10th. Can I provide the status update to Mr. Mueller in writing?
President Ungethiem: Yes, you can.
Jim Johnson: Okay, thank you. Anything else?
President Ungethiem: Any other questions from the Board?
Commissioner Kiefer: No, just thanks, Jim, appreciate the concern and conscientiousness in getting this update.
Jim Johnson: Okay, thank you.
Commissioner Melcher: I would like to say thank you too, and I also heard you say that the Water Department is finally starting to move to help you guys, because you've been holding off on that because you were waiting on the Water Department, if I remember right.
Jim Johnson: Is that right? He asked about the Water Department starting to move.
Commissioner Melcher: They were holding you up too, weren't they?
Jeff Stemaly: The utility, the Sewer Utility. It's taken care of.
Commissioner Melcher: That's all the same. Okay.
Jim Johnson: Okay, thank you.
Commissioner Kiefer: Thanks, appreciate it.
President Ungethiem: Any other comments from the public on this issue? Okay, Jeff, any final comments?
Jeff Mueller: No, I think that's what we're all after is just trying to move forward and get this thing off the agenda.
Commissioner Kiefer: Nobody will be more happy than this Board.
President Ungethiem: We wholeheartedly agree.
Jeff Mueller: I don’t know, me and Mr. Stoll might be.

Commissioner Kiefer: Yeah, well, you’re part of the Board, indirectly anyway.

President Ungethiem: And, Mr. Stemaly, glad that you’re back in operation and hope to see good things in the next month.

Jeff Stemaly: Thank you, appreciate it.

---

**Bittner Dam Update**

President Ungethiem: Okay, Jeff, Bittner Dam.

Jeff Mueller: Bittner Dam. Steve picked a good time to go on vacation.

Commissioner Melcher: Thank you.

Jeff Mueller: Okay, Bittner Dam, as reported on the September 15, 2015 meeting, Vanderburgh County has acquired ownership of a high hazard dam. Mr. Stoll became aware of this when he received a copy of non-compliance letter that was sent to the Commissioners. As a result of that letter, a site visit was made on September 10, 2015 by myself and Mr. Stoll and determined that until the dam was mowed, an inspection by a licensed professional engineer would not be possible. I have a couple of slides from the previous meeting that shows the location of the dam and pictures that were taken on our visit.

Commissioner Kiefer: Could you refresh our memory of where Bittner Dam is? Oh, I see, Orchard Road.

President Ungethiem: Orchard and Bromm.

Commissioner Kiefer: Yeah.

Jeff Mueller: And the area in blue is the part that the county owns.

Joe Harrison, Jr.: Tell Steve that’s a tax sale property, a lot.

Commissioner Kiefer: Yeah, it’s a tax sale property.

Commissioner Melcher: Oh, a tax sale property?

Jeff Mueller: Yes.

Commissioner Melcher: I was going to ask what we got it for? I was waiting for an explanation.

President Ungethiem: We are now the proud owner of the dam.

Jeff Mueller: Well, I’m sorry too, Steve, I meant to mention that this was actually brought up in the fact that it was a tax sale property of a portion of some outlots, which is one of my arguments...I’ve got a drainage code changes made ready for Mr. Harrison to put the legalese to it, but one of the things that I would like to see is no more basins in outlots, because we don’t want to end up owning anymore properties like this.

Commissioner Kiefer: There’s no access to this.

Jeff Mueller: There is an access to it.

Commissioner Kiefer: An easement?

Jeff Mueller: There is a maintenance easement. As a matter of fact, I sent our crew out and they located some of the property pins and some of the other things. So, we do have a, let me put it this way, we have an easement that’s 20 feet. Now, whether you could drive a car in or not, I don’t know, but you could definitely walk in and walk out.

President Ungethiem: Is that off of Bromm?
Jeff Mueller: Yes, it’s off the actual, actually there is a house up here, and this was actually a, I’m having a hard time because it’s, there’s actually a house, and there’s actually, there was, it was supposed to be a small, minor subdivision right here. So, there’s access coming in this direction. Okay?

President Ungethiem: So, you come in—

Jeff Mueller: I’ve got a better drawing, but I don’t have it posted unfortunately.

President Ungethiem: The reason I asked is the Sheriff and I talked about this earlier in the meeting and he wanted to go out and take a look at it. So, we were going to go out there and walk it, but I need to know how to get to the—

Jeff Mueller: Well, if you want, I’ll go with you guys. You know, I talked to Dave too.

President Ungethiem: Okay.

Jeff Mueller: That’s what he said. So, if you guys want, I’ll go with you.

Commissioner Kiefer: Jeff, if I recall, this is not a buildable lot?

Jeff Mueller: No.

President Ungethiem: No, it’s below the dam.

Jeff Mueller: Yeah, the dam itself and the water.

Commissioner Kiefer: So, how could, for practicality, how could we ever do anything with this?

Joe Harrison, Jr.: Well, there’s nothing.

President Ungethiem: Do you want to talk about options?

Jeff Mueller: Well, let me get through the other part first. How’s that?

Commissioner Kiefer: Yeah.

Jeff Mueller: Alright? These were pictures that John took. You can see it’s pretty wooly. You really can’t do a proper dam inspection unless you can really have a nice, mowed dam where you can see any problems. So, let me talk about the IDNR jurisdiction, and then, like I said, we’ll get through some things and go from there. IDNR, Indiana Department of Natural Resources, has jurisdiction over any dam that meets any one of the following four criteria. For this particular dam, the height of the dam is the issue. It’s because it impounds more than 20 feet height of water. But, also, there’s another issue, and that is even if you were to petition, even if you were to get the water level down to an acceptable level, someone downstream, if they felt that they were endangered by that, could petition DNR and DNR can still put it back in a high hazard category if they’re staff reviews it and feels that it could cause loss of life. The middle two things, this is not, does not have a square mile of drainage area and it does not impound 100 acre feed. So, like I said, it’s considered by DNR to be a high hazard. By law, an inspection by a licensed professional engineer on a high hazard dam must be done every two years. They have given us some data, some of the previous issues were with the spillways, there is a sump, there is seepage and there’s been some animal burrows in the dam. Which if you’ve got seepage, the seepage goes to the animal burrow, and all kind of things can happen.

President Ungethiem: Jeff, let me ask you a question. This 20 feet of water, is that the depth of the lake?

Jeff Mueller: Yes.

President Ungethiem: Has that been checked recently?

Jeff Mueller: No, but they, I mean, unless you went, I know if it’s, if silt’s not going….their numbers are going to be, you’re going to have a hard time proving that it’s silted in so it’s only 19 ½ feet now.
President Ungethiem: Well, that’s what I’m wondering. If we were to go out there and survey that lake for the depth, and it turns out that it’s only 18 feet, does that put us out of this high hazard?

Jeff Mueller: They can still call it a high hazard dam if they want because of the downstream houses.

President Ungethiem: Okay. I’m looking for an out.

Jeff Mueller: I know you are. John and I have been looking for an out for a long time. After our last meeting on September 15th, I was able to make contact with IDNR regarding the dam via a phone conversation with George Crosby and Ken Smith. That conversation occurred on September 23rd. From the files, which I'll get to in a minute, both of these gentlemen have a long history with this dam. I told them that prior to receiving the notice that the county was unaware of its ownership of the dam. Furthermore, I expressed that due to the condition of the downslope being heavily vegetated, combined with us needing to determine our true access rights, that it would not be possible to meet the October 23rd deadline for an inspection. The gentlemen stated that this was a first letter and that it served as a warning letter, and that they would be willing to work with us as long as they knew that we are proceeding ahead. They requested that the county send them an e-mail, or a letter, the week following the October 6th Drainage Board meeting, because I told them I can’t commit to anything, the Board is the one that has to commit to anything. But, with the county’s plans, which I would assume we would also ask for some kind of an extension, because we’re not going to meet that October 23rd deadline for an inspection. Also, along with that phone call, I asked if DNR would provide information from their files regarding the dam. They provided me with two large electronic files, a couple of hundred pages if anybody’s having trouble sleeping at night. One of the files was an inspection commissioned by the Corps of Engineers in 1981. The other was a chronological file that included previous State inspections prior to the change in law in 2002, when the State stopped inspecting. I should interject here, it used to be that the State did these inspections, and then as their inspectors retired, they didn’t fill the slots, and they passed a law in 2002 saying we’re no longer going to do the inspections, it’s up to the dam owners to do them and to submit them. So, when the State stopped, also they provided correspondence between Mr. Bittner of Bittner Dam, Morley and Associates, and IDNR regarding an attempt to remove the dam from a high hazard classification. There was quite a bit of technical work done to show a lowered dam to get it out of the high hazard classification, but one of the problems was, again, even though they showed a dam design, it was going to be under 20 feet in height, DNR could say, well, somebody could still petition it and it could still come back to a high hazard. So, it was kind of like you were like almost chasing your tail. You know, well, good, we go through and do all of this work and then we still end up with a high hazard dam. So, there’s a bunch of paperwork in regarding that. That leaves us with today, the question is who and how the county would proceed with the response of scheduled activities? I feel like, my own personal opinion is that we at least should try to have the dam mowed, and that we should put out some kind of an RFP, or take some quotes from some engineering firms to get an inspection, but, you know, it’s not going to be something that’s going to be done shortly. I think we’re going to have to respond in some kind of a letter with some kind of extension request stating when we could get things done. Commissioner Ungethiem and I talked about this a little bit this afternoon about, you know, it’s going to take some time, and I think he’s got a couple of ideas about who might cut it.

President Ungethiem: Well, one of the things we talked about is the Community Corrections group is always looking for opportunities to do some work. We were talking with the Sheriff’s Department about the possibility of using them to take a group out there and start whittling away at the vegetation on the side of the dam so we can get the inspection done. I don’t know the terrain at this point in time, and neither does the Sheriff, but he wanted to go out and take a look at it and see if that was something they might be able to do.

Commissioner Kiefer: I have a question about the dam. Is the purpose of the dam some kind of retention?

Jeff Mueller: No, it’s recreational. It was built back in the 1950’s.
Commissioner Kiefer: Okay. Is there any reason why we can’t just eliminate the dam altogether?

Jeff Mueller: There isn’t, but it’s not a matter of taking a Highway crew out with a bucket. You’ll have to do, because it’s already on the books as a high hazard dam, you would have to submit a breach analysis to DNR, and you would probably also have to have an IDEM permit before you could breach it. Nothing is simple in today’s world anymore.

President Ungethiem: One of the options that we talked about is that option, and then getting a group of the residents that live around the dam, and basically say, the county owns this dam, if you would like to take it off of our hands and maintain it and have it inspected and keep the lake, you are more than welcome to do that. Right now it doesn’t seem that they are willing to—

Commissioner Kiefer: Why pay for something if you can get somebody else to pay for and enjoy the use of the lake?

President Ungethiem: But, if you lose your lake front property that might be an incentive to get together a community organization that would inspect and maintain the dam.

Commissioner Kiefer: Yeah, they need an association with the neighbors.

President Ungethiem: Yeah.

Commissioner Kiefer: Okay, well that answered my question.

Joe Harrison, Jr.: As far as moving forward with a response, it’s my feeling that we need at least 60 or 90 days.

President Ungethiem: Jeff and I talked about that.

Joe Harrison, Jr.: I’m just throwing out a number.

President Ungethiem: We thought that, you know, if we had till the end of the year to make the inspection.

Joe Harrison, Jr.: Yeah.

Jeff Mueller: Or longer. I think we even talked about two months for mowing.

President Ungethiem: Well, we were saying the end of the year to clear it.

Jeff Mueller: Yeah.

President Ungethiem: Then the end of the first quarter to inspect?

Joe Harrison, Jr.: Yeah, the first thing you’ve got to do is get it cleared.

Jeff Mueller: Right.

Joe Harrison, Jr.: That’s going to take months, I think.

Jeff Mueller: Yeah, and there’s no sense of locking ourselves into a tight schedule.

Joe Harrison, Jr.: No.

President Ungethiem: I mean, I think they’ll work with us as long as they think we’ve got a plan.

Jeff Mueller: Yes.

President Ungethiem: So, I would make that plan flexible enough that we can—

Joe Harrison, Jr.: Yeah, to the end of the year to try to get it mowed, and then from there figure out what you want to do. If it’s contact the neighbors or (Inaudible).

Commissioner Kiefer: I mean, is this taking like a bush hog, or what’s it taking to get this thing mowed?
Jeff Mueller: It’s a very steep slope.

President Ungethiem: The trees are that big or bigger.

Jeff Mueller: I don’t know what you’re going to be able to put on the slope to mow it, because you can’t walk the slope right now because there’s so much briars and trees and everything.

Commissioner Kiefer: So, it’s not like taking a weed eater and lawn mower out there?

President Ungethiem: Well, it would be lot of weed eating, and that’s why we’re talking Community Corrections. You’re almost going to have to walk it and cut it by hand. You’re not going to be able to get a piece of equipment on it, because, what, you’re 3:1 in the back?

Jeff Mueller: It’s less than 3:1, or, I mean, it’s steeper than 3:1 probably.

President Ungethiem: Yeah.

Joe Harrison, Jr.: I don’t even know if you can get any machinery in there.

Jeff Mueller: Well, then on top of it the issue is, if you try to mow it with a piece of machinery and you’ve got, you know, matted material this thick, then you still can’t inspect the dam, because you can’t see the grass.

Commissioner Kiefer: Well, can we get, just in case we can’t get the Correction Department out there doing some work, can we get bids on having some people come out, some contractors coming out and seeing what it would cost us to get it done?

Jeff Mueller: You know, we’ve got four or five people that mow for us, you know, in our drainage ditches all the time, and that would be my recommendation, if we wanted to do bids, was just contact, you know, the same people that mow all of our other ditches.

Commissioner Kiefer: Right.

Jeff Mueller: Because some of them have got some pretty nifty little equipment. They’ve got some equipment with some attachments on some small back hoes and stuff.

Commissioner Kiefer: Yeah.

Commissioner Melcher: Wouldn’t it be better if we just went ahead and did that, instead of messing with the Sheriff?

Commissioner Kiefer: Then we know it’s getting done correctly.

Commissioner Melcher: Well, that’s what I’m trying to say is, that’s going to be a maybe thing.

Joe Harrison, Jr.: Yeah.

Commissioner Melcher: As they can. If we pay for it and that with our fund, then we know it’s getting done, and getting done right.

Jeff Mueller: Well, why don’t I go ahead and put together a bid proposal, and then if Community Corrections comes through, or, you know, that’s something you want to do that’s fine, but if not, we’ve got the piece of paper in our hands and what it’s going to cost us to go outside. Would that be okay?

President Ungethiem: I think that would be a good idea.

Commissioner Kiefer: Sounds like a good idea.

Joe Harrison, Jr.: Well, then you can tell the State we’re already doing that.

President Ungethiem: I think we should—

Commissioner Melcher: Yeah, you would be showing the State we’re doing something.
Jeff Mueller: Yes.

President Ungethiem: I think we should get quotes for the cleaning of the vegetation off of there, and I think we should also get quotes for the inspection of the dam so we know how much that's going to cost now. Can they do that without it being cleaned first? Can they take a look at it and basically give us an estimate of what it would cost to inspect?

Jeff Mueller: At least one firm would be, because Morley did some work, I would think. Maybe I'm putting words into Morley's mouth, but they're one of the firms that can do the inspections, and, you know, they're acquainted with the dam. So, I would hope that they would know.

President Ungethiem: Yeah, so, if we can get those two numbers, or at least get quotations for those two pieces, then we can show IDNR that, in good faith, we're working on it.

Commissioner Kiefer: I would be interested to know, long term, what it's going to cost us to eliminate the dam altogether. I mean, I'm talking long term. I know you said immediately we've got some issues, but, I mean, how many acres is this lake?

President Ungethiem: Six or seven?

Jeff Mueller: Yeah, it's not that big.

Commissioner Kiefer: Yeah, I mean, it seems like we could get an idea on the cost of having it removed altogether too.

Jeff Mueller: Well, of course, the ideal thing would be also though if we could get the, you know, the surrounding homeowners to say, you know, here's the choices guys.

Commissioner Kiefer: Well, I know, but we need to show them we're prepared to take the thing out if we need to.

President Ungethiem: How do we legally approach the homeowners around that lake and get them involved in this?

Joe Harrison, Jr.: I think the first thing you need to do is try to get it, you know, to show them what we've did, what the county's done, the cost they've incurred to mow the area, to get in there, so they know that that's done. That's just my own thing, and then the next step it needs to have an engineering report. You know, it may be that the county's going to have to get that done, and then you go to them and say—

President Ungethiem: Well, the problem is, if you get the engineering report and the engineering report says this is deficient and x, y, z and it's going to be three quarters of a million dollars to fix, you're not going to get them interested in biting off on that.

Joe Harrison, Jr.: Well, I understand that, but, again, the State wants a report. The report is going to have to be done.

Commissioner Melcher: If it's that, we'll just take it out.

Joe Harrison, Jr.: Yeah.

President Ungethiem: Yeah.

Commissioner Melcher: You know, and we'll just tell the homeowners we have no choice. We have a plan. Do you have a plan?

Joe Harrison, Jr.: Right.

President Ungethiem: Your plan would be to buy this piece of property from us and assume responsibility.

Joe Harrison, Jr.: Or, they may buy it for a dollar, but, I think, they may want to keep their water.

Commissioner Kiefer: They may want to keep, after, if we do the initial—
President Ungethiem: I would, if I was living on a lake.

Commissioner Kiefer: -- clean up—

Joe Harrison, Jr.: Right.

Commissioner Kiefer: -- and we do the initial inspection and it shows everything is okay, then they may be willing to take it.

Commissioner Melcher: Yeah, we have to show the State (Inaudible).

Joe Harrison, Jr.: Right.

Jeff Mueller: DNR, yes.

Commissioner Melcher: DNR. I guess, I wasn’t here, and I apologize for not being here, I guess, but how did this come up to, how did it even come up?

Jeff Mueller: It went through the Tax Sale process, I guess, and then there was a letter, I had it in your packet last time, I should have brought a copy for you this time.

Commissioner Melcher: That's alright.

Jeff Mueller: But, there was a letter sent to the county saying that you’re deficient on your inspection.

Commissioner Melcher: From who?

Jeff Mueller: From DNR.

Joe Harrison, Jr.: From IDNR, and they were aware that the county had already acquired it, or was in the process of acquiring it.

Jeff Mueller: Yes.

President Ungethiem: It hasn’t been inspected since 2002?

Jeff Mueller: I’m not sure of that, Bruce. The State hasn’t inspected it since 2002. I don’t remember.

President Ungethiem: Do we have any record of any other inspections since then?

Jeff Mueller: I don’t know. I mean, the file was pretty big, so, I was kind of just going through it. I can’t remember.

President Ungethiem: Okay, so, our to do list is get a response drafted, you’re going to work with Joe to get a response drafted to the DNR that’s basically going to lay out a very stretchy schedule so we can make sure that we can make that. Then we’re going to get quotations or a Request For Proposal from some of our people who mow ditches now.

Jeff Mueller: All of our vendors that mow now. I’ll just get, you know, we know the list.

President Ungethiem: On what it would cost to do that.

Jeff Mueller: Yeah.

President Ungethiem: Then some idea from Morley what they think the cost would be to inspect it.

Jeff Mueller: Yeah, and there’s at least one other engineering firm in town, I know, that does this work, and there might be others, but, you know, like I said, Morley is going to the one that can probably shoot us a number because they’re most acquainted with it, but we can get numbers from other folks.

Commissioner Melcher: I’m comfortable with that. They’ve already got records on it. Where everybody is going to charge us more money to find out what’s going on.
President Ungethiem: Well, they can give, I mean, they can give us, they should be able to give us an estimate fairly easily. Is it going to be $10,000, is it going to be $50,000? We'll get a ball park estimate of what it's going to cost.

Commissioner Melcher: This is probably not the place to bring it up, but I'm going to. Joe (Inaudible) was talking, and we've talked, I've talked about this before, especially from the (Inaudible). Like, I remember one time getting a piece of property on Riverside, and the grass was six foot high. I got a call from the news media and I went out there, but I did my homework first. We just got it the week before. I went out there and I said, yes, it is six foot high, the city had it till three or four days ago, and how come there's no flags on it from the city saying the grass is high? All of a sudden now we own it, the city turned us in. So, can you go back and see...I said, tomorrow if you come by it will be clean. I got the Highway to do it. We need somebody to look at properties on our behalf. Like we would have somebody going out and looked at this, we could have passed on that property. I'm just saying in the future, because there's other people's properties out there that we don't that we might run into the same thing. Or another set of Commissioners. So, I think it's time that we start looking at properties.

Jeff Mueller: I agree with that, and like I said also, the other thing is that we need to watch when outlots are created. Because, like I hear people say, well, like in a subdivision we want to put the retention pond in an outlot and the homeowners association is going to take care of it. Well, that's great now, but 20 years from now everybody in the homeowners association gets tired of it and then the lake could end up in our issue, you know.

Commissioner Kiefer: I think you're 100 percent correct, Jeff. I mean, there's no reason why that dam couldn't have belonged to those individual property owners connected to a house or a home or something and there's, it may be part of five homeowners or whatever.

Jeff Mueller: Well, the county owns a dry basin off of...what is that, John, Middle Mt. Vernon? Off of Middle Mt. Vernon Road. There's a subdivision there that had a dry basin that the county now owns. It's owned it for several years.

Commissioner Kiefer: Yeah.

Jeff Mueller: I gave you guys, Mr. Melcher, I'll give you that list, but there's like six or seven issue properties that are outlots that, you know, have been issues, and who knows in the next 20 years how many more could come up if, you know, well, we're tired of maintaining the lake, let's just go to the Tax Sale and let the county take it over. I think we need to, I agree with what you're saying.

Commissioner Melcher: We don't have to take it.

Joe Harrison, Jr.: Right.

Jeff Mueller: Right.

Commissioner Melcher: That's the thing, but we need somebody to inspect it. We're running in to all kinds of weird things; getting property...what was that property we got one time where we can't even touch it? You can't do nothing with it?

Joe Harrison, Jr.: Oh, it was historic?

Commissioner Melcher: Well, it was something to do with a river basin or something. We couldn't do nothing with it.

Commissioner Kiefer: Well, we don't want property that it's going to cost us. I mean, we want property that we turn around and resell and some other group or entity can—

Commissioner Melcher: (Inaudible) they get to keep it.

Commissioner Kiefer: Yeah.

Joe Harrison, Jr.: Well, we can have, I think we can have a further discussion, but the county doesn't take commercial properties, and you know what, maybe there's a lot of residential properties we just don't take anymore.
Commissioner Kiefer: Yeah, it shouldn’t be an automatic. I think before we start accepting properties, the Commissioners need to vote to accept those properties.

Joe Harrison, Jr.: Well, we ought to have a list.

Commissioner Kiefer: Yeah, that’s what I’m saying.

Joe Harrison, Jr.: What do you want?

Commissioner Melcher: Somebody needs to go look at them and sign off on them that knows what they’re looking at.

Joe Harrison, Jr.: Or you may not want any of them.

Commissioner Melcher: If we don’t want them, we don’t take them.

Commissioner Kiefer: Exactly.

Jeff Mueller: Okay, so, I’m going to get with Mr. Harrison and we’re going to put together a schedule before we send it off to DNR. We’ll rotate it among you gentlemen for agreement or changes or anything, and then we’ll look for getting the numbers for mowing and for inspecting for you.

President Ungethiem: Be liberal on the dates, so we’ve got plenty of time to do this.

Jeff Mueller: Yeah, I wasn’t going to try to pin myself in a corner, or pin us in a corner on that.

Commissioner Melcher: This is going to be a long process.

Jeff Mueller: I agree.

President Ungethiem: Okay.

Jeff Mueller: Okay?

---

**Ditch Maintenance Claims**

President Ungethiem: Other business?

Jeff Mueller: Ditch maintenance claims. I gave you a sheet for 12 claims totaling $10,245.01, and request that those claims be approved.

Commissioner Melcher: I’ll move to approve them.

Commissioner Kiefer: Second.

President Ungethiem: Discussion? Roll call.

Madelyn Grayson: Commissioner Kiefer?

Commissioner Kiefer: Yes.

Madelyn Grayson: Commissioner Melcher?

Commissioner Melcher: Yes.

Madelyn Grayson: President Ungethiem?

President Ungethiem: Yes.

(Motion approved 3-0)

Jeff Mueller: Four of those firms that are on that list would be four of them we would contact to see if they’re interested in doing the mowing.

President Ungethiem: Okay. Anything else?

Jeff Mueller: That’s all I’ve got.
Public Comment

Commissioner Kiefer: Motion to adjourn.
Commissioner Melcher: Second.
President Ungethiem: Any public comment? Seeing none.

Adjournment

President Ungethiem: Motion to move, or motion to adjourn.
Commissioner Kiefer: I already did.
President Ungethiem: And a second. All those in favor say aye.
President Ungethiem: All those in favor say aye.
All Commissioners: Aye.
(Motion approved 3-0)
President Ungethiem: We are adjourned.
(The meeting was adjourned at 7:02 p.m.)
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