The Vanderburgh County Drainage Board met in session this 29th day of January, 2019 at 4:48 p.m. in Room 301 of the Civic Center Complex with President Jeff Hatfield presiding.

Call to Order

President Hatfield: We'll go ahead and call the Drainage Board to order.

Pledge of Allegiance

President Hatfield: The first item is Pledge of Allegiance.

(The Pledge of Allegiance was given.)

Approval of the January 8, 2019 Drainage Board Meeting Minutes

President Hatfield: Okay, our first order of business is approval of the previous minutes. Can I hear a motion for that?

Commissioner Shoulders: So moved.

Commissioner Musgrave: Second.

President Hatfield: All of those in favor say aye.

All Commissioners: Aye.

President Hatfield: All those opposed same.

(None opposed)

President Shoulders: The motion carries.

(Motion approved 3-0)

Submittal of 2018 Annual Report on Drains

President Hatfield: Go ahead, Jeff, you've got an annual report.

Jeff Mueller: Yeah, sorry about that, let me just get going here a little bit. Okay, the first thing is a submittal of the annual report. You will have, in your packet, a folder with the annual report in it. This is being submitted to you to fulfill the requirements of Indiana Code 36-9-27. You're going to find in the report an update on the status on various drains, as well as recommendations for maintenance. If you look in the back of the report, you're going to see three folded out 11” X 17” sheets. Okay? And, those are a summary of what we're going to be planning on bringing to you to put out for bid. Now, next meeting, Linda will be down here with a package this thick, which she's going to be asking you to approve, but that's, this is a summary of what this is going to come about. So, we'll be coming back to the next meeting to put out the specifications for bids for the 2019 work, which those bids will be going out with the bidders to respond to us by March 19th of this year. Okay?

Approval of Assessment Rates for 2019 Tax Billing

Jeff Mueller: Then moving on from that, the approval of the assessment rates for 2019. With the information, you know, after those 11” X 17” sheets, if you would, you've got a nice colored sheet that looks like this, in your packet. Okay? I want to run through this real fast, and kind of explain what's going on. You're going to see that, for 2019, that we are projecting approximately $141,000 in work for 2019. And, next to that, you're going to see some really screwy columns, one says "four times the estimate", and the other says "eight times the estimate". What this is, is under 36-9-27-43, it says, if the account
balance, which is the first column, is less than four times the estimate of work, then we are required to bill the assessment for that year. If, on the other hand, if the account balance is over eight times the assessment, then we, by law, cannot bill. Okay? We don’t have any of those situations this year. But, as you, if you look, you’ll see that Eagle Slough and East Side Urban, the ratio is 6.1 and 6.5, so we do have some pretty healthy balances. Now, in the middle is if the balance is between four times and eight times the estimate, then omission is at the discretion of the Board. So, you will see, with the exception of five ditch accounts, everything is in green, meaning that that account gets billed. That leaves five accounts that fall between the four and eight times. Three of those accounts, Lateral B, Singer and Edmond we are recommending that we bill those as well. The reason is, the billing is, there’s not a lot of money that comes in on those accounts, and the balances are relatively low, so we’d like to get those built up. On Eagle Slough and East Side Urban, you will see that we have some pretty sizable dollar figures in those. Eagle Slough with about $57,000, and East Side Urban with a quarter million dollars. So, we would recommend not billing those accounts. Okay? So, what you have, let’s see, just one other thing I just want to kind of go over a little bit, is what we try to do is we try to bill the same amount every year. So, like if it’s a certain rate per acre, we keep that the same. That way it makes it easier for people when they get their tax bill, that they see the same amount being billed every year. Also, if you start varying the rates around, you’ve got to be careful, because if you raise the rates beyond a certain percentage, you actually have to go to a public hearing and send out notices, and a whole bunch of other things. So, kind of the general rule is, we keep the number the same, if we’ve got plenty of money we don’t bill, otherwise, we bill. Kind of making it in short term easy. So, what you have in your packet next, is you will find an 11” X 14” sheet, with my signature on the bottom of that. And, these are the rates for 2019. And, you’ll notice that our Auditor stayed tonight, and it’s not because he likes the Drainage Board, but he will be happy to explain to you that once we get these rates approved, and all of the other rates that we’ve just about got, then he’s going to send these up to the State, the State’s going to give their blessing, they’ll come back and then Brian can, they can send that over and prepare the tax bills. So, kind of all this, it’s amazing how this kind of stuff all fits in together. Now, I do want to point out one other thing, and that is that the rates, as I said, are the same, with one exception, and that’s on Pond Flat Main. The farmers that make up a large percentage of that, have requested that we increase the rate 20 cents per acre. So, what we’re asking is, the same rates with the exception of Pond Flat, which is an extra 20 cents per acre. So, I’m asking that you would approve these rates, and then we can move forward. Do you have any questions of me or Brian?

Commissioner Musgrave:  Motion to approve the rates, and should I say as listed on the form?

Jeff Mueller:  Well, it would actually be as listed on the white form.

Commissioner Musgrave: As listed on the white form.

Jeff Mueller:  With my signature, yes.

Commissioner Musgrave:  Alright, so, the motion to approve.

Commissioner Shoulders:  I will second the motion.

President Hatfield:  Would you call the roll?

Madelyn Grayson: Commissioner Shoulders?

Commissioner Shoulders: Yes.

Madelyn Grayson: Commissioner Musgrave?

Commissioner Musgrave: Yes.

Madelyn Grayson: President Hatfield?

President Hatfield: Yes. The motion is approved.

(Motion approved 3-0)
Jeff Mueller: Good, we got through that without too much confusion. Alright.

| Encroachment by AT&T for Crawford Brandeis |

Jeff Mueller: Next is an encroachment request by AT&T for Crawford Brandeis. As you know, this is my super highway, and this particular request AT&T is wanting to put a pole next to an existing box that they have at the edge of the drain. I don’t know if you can see that, but that’s Burkhardt Road, and if you would look to the right that would be where Starbucks is, you know, this is south of the Lloyd, where Target and all of that is. So, you’ve got those, this is kind of just about a block south of the Lloyd. So, AT&T really looked hard for other places to put their equipment, and they even looked at putting it on the traffic signal that goes in the Target plaza, and they’re actually going to do that at some locations, but for some reason it wouldn’t work here. So, I’m going to kind of go against my usual stand, and say that I would go ahead and let them do this. In this particular case, it’s going to be at the edge of our right-of-entry. It’s going to be next to the green box, which they already have. They’ve made some really good efforts to try to do this. On this particular case, I would recommend the encroachment agreement.

Commissioner Shoulders: Okay. Oh, sorry.

President Hatfield: So, looking at the picture, Jeff, you want to put a bench just within a couple feet—

Jeff Mueller: No, see that green box back there near the trees?

President Hatfield: Yeah.

Jeff Mueller: They want to put a pole, so they can put one of these—

President Hatfield: Okay.

Jeff Mueller: -- right there.

President Hatfield: Got it.

Commissioner Musgrave: Is the pole—

David Jones: (Inaudible. Microphone not on.) that close…that’s the right-of-way for the roadway.

Jeff Mueller: Those benches are put out by somebody, I don’t know, some private company that does that.

Commissioner Musgrave: They got permission from the city and the county back in ’05 or ’06. So, if you want to pull that agreement, I would be more than happy to look at it, because those benches block my view all the time.

David Jones: I don’t think that’s safe.

Commissioner Musgrave: Well, at any rate, do I understand that you want to put a pole where no pole exists, even on that whole area?

Jeff Mueller: It would be back in that green, yeah, where that green box is, right there.

Commissioner Musgrave: There are no poles on that side of the street at all?

Jeff Mueller: No.

Commissioner Musgrave: I don’t want to do that.

President Hatfield: What is AT&T, you know, how, who does this affect? Who does this help?

Jeff Mueller: This is for their 4G or 5G, it’s the little, the pole is going to be a little tower.

President Hatfield: Okay.
Commissioner Musgrave: This whole development was developed without any poles, anywhere. All of the services were under ground. They put a great deal of attention to landscaping and so forth and so on.

Jeff Mueller: And, they’ve already got a power cable going to that box, so, they wouldn’t have to run any other power to the, through our system. That would be the other advantage to using that.

Commissioner Shoulders: So, Jeff, are you seeking a motion for the pole? Or, are you seeking a motion—

Jeff Mueller: I’m going to, Dave asked for an encroachment, and I don’t have, in this particular case, they’ve bent over backwards to try to come up with another location. I don’t, I’m not opposed to it.

Commissioner Musgrave: What does the equipment actually do?

Jeff Mueller: It’s some of their, it’s their cell, their 4G or their 5G stuff. It’s got to be a pole with that small little stuff on it. For lack of better term, cell tower, but it’s not really a cell tower anymore.

President Hatfield: Are we talking about the pole, Commissioners? Would anyone like to either make a motion or not make one?

Commissioner Musgrave: Um, are there any other possible locations for this?

Jeff Mueller: They, they looked around and they couldn’t find anything. Like I said, they tried to put them up—

Commissioner Musgrave: Can’t they go behind the buildings, like behind Fresh Market?

Jeff Mueller: They would have to get, it wouldn’t be within any right-of-way, so, they would have to go get a private agreement with them.

Commissioner Musgrave: Can’t they do that?

Jeff Mueller: Well, they could, I mean—

Commissioner Musgrave: You know, I’m all about this broadband and access—

Jeff Mueller: No, I understand.

Commissioner Musgrave: --but, can we table this a month? I would like them to look a little harder.

Jeff Mueller: Okay, that’s, I mean, I don’t have a problem discussing it with them.

Commissioner Musgrave: Okay.

Commissioner Shoulders: When’s our next Drainage Board meeting?

President Hatfield: Six years from now.

Jeff Mueller: Three weeks.

Commissioner Shoulders: Three weeks?

Jeff Mueller: No, I’m sorry, one week. One week, and then three weeks.

Madelyn Grayson: February 5th.

Jeff Mueller: Yeah, February 5th, and then three weeks after that.

Madelyn Grayson: The 26th.

Jeff Mueller: The 26th, or whatever, yeah, whatever that time period is.

Commissioner Musgrave: I would just like to table it until our next meeting, okay?

President Hatfield: A second on that, Ben?
Commissioner Musgrave: That's not a week from now, is it?

Jeff Mueller: Yes.

Commissioner Musgrave: I would like to table it to our second next meeting.

Jeff Mueller: Yeah, which will be the 20 something--

Commissioner Shoulders: The 26th.

Jeff Mueller: Yes.

President Hatfield: Second on that, Ben?

Commissioner Shoulders: Yes.

President Hatfield: All of those in favor say aye.

All Commissioners: Aye.

President Hatfield: Okay.

(Motion approved 3-0)

**Application to Affect Legal Drain: County Engineer**

Next.

Jeff Mueller: Next you have another application to affect a legal drain. This one was submitted by the County Engineer. So, this one is pretty easy. What it is, is this is for work that the County Highway will be doing on Zimmerman Road, on the Helfrich Happe Drain in Union Township. This is to replace an existing culvert with a somewhat longer and larger culvert, and the work will be done by the County Highway Department. So, requesting approval for this.

Commissioner Musgrave: (Inaudible. Microphone not on.)

Jeff Mueller: They're going to put in, in our legal drain, Helfrich Happe, which is on, crosses Zimmerman Road, which is down, halfway down Union Township. There's a pipe there now. So, what they're doing is maintenance, but they're replacing the existing culvert with something a little bit larger and little bit longer.

Commissioner Musgrave: Okay.

Jeff Mueller: Okay?

Commissioner Musgrave: I'll move approval.

Commissioner Shoulders: I will second the motion.

President Hatfield: Would the clerk call the roll please.

Madelyn Grayson: Commissioner Shoulders?

Commissioner Shoulders: Yes.

Madelyn Grayson: Commissioner Musgrave?

Commissioner Musgrave: Yes.

Madelyn Grayson: President Hatfield?

President Hatfield: Yes.

(Motion approved 3-0)

Jeff Mueller: Okay.
Jeff Mueller: Next, Vectren non-compliance with Sonntag Stevens agreement. Vectren requested an encroachment agreement of this Board previously, and that was put a pipe across Sonntag Stevens, and to put some of their storage yard back there. I was out walking Sonntag Stevens, and I came up on the Vectren problem on the property. If you can see, there is a copy of a letter that I sent to Vectren in your file, and in that you’ll see a picture. They’re supposed to be 25’ off the drain, and what they actually have is they have the poles going all the way across the drain. They’re storage poles, but we’re supposed to be able to mow that drain, and now we’ve got poles hanging over the top of it. So, I wrote Vectren a letter of non-compliance. Is someone here from Vectren?

Josh Sherratz: Yes.

Jeff Mueller: Okay, would you like to come up and address the situation please?

Josh Sherratz: Hello, my name is Josh Sherratz, I’m the Fleet Facilities Manager for Vectren Energy. Actually I got your letter forwarded to me on the 23rd. Since that time, what has happened is, we have a lot of contractors out in that area, and one of our contractors stockpiled a bunch of steel poles in that area. When I received your letter on the 23rd, I had our contractor remove those poles, in accordance with what we’d agreed upon. And, so, however you guys would like us to address this, because what we’re looking to doing further is to be able to put some signage in there that would prevent this from happening again, to encroach in there, because we appreciate having the variance to be able to do the work that we did. But, since that time, they have been moved. As of today they’re gone. So, if you guys would like for us to respond to that letter with pictures, or if you guys would like to re-inspect, it’s whatever you wish to do.

Jeff Mueller: I don’t have a problem with going out there sometime. I don’t know that we need a letter. If you want to put a sign up there, I’d be all for it to tell your folks to be sure not to go beyond this point. I don’t know how the Board feels about that. I appreciate you guys—

Commissioner Musgrave: Do you want to go ahead and re-inspect?

Jeff Mueller: Yeah, I’ll go ahead and re-inspect.

Commissioner Musgrave: Okay, I’ll make a motion that the Surveyor go ahead and re-inspect, and thanks for coming.

Josh Sherratz: Yeah, no problem.

Commissioner Musgrave: Thanks for your update, thanks for the action you took.

Jeff Mueller: Yeah, appreciate that.

Commissioner Shoulders: And, I will second the motion. Thank you both for being here. Thank you for being here as well.

President Hatfield: Madelyn, could you call the roll?

Madelyn Grayson: Commissioner Shoulders?

Commissioner Shoulders: Yes.

Madelyn Grayson: Commissioner Musgrave?

Commissioner Musgrave: Yes.

Madelyn Grayson: President Hatfield?

President Hatfield: Yes. The motion carries.

(Motion approved 3-0)
Hunter Chase: Final Drainage Plan Status Update

Jeff Mueller: Okay.

President Hatfield: So, now we’re at Hunters Chase final drawings\(^1\) plan status?

Jeff Mueller: Yes. We received partial plans, but there’s still more information that’s required in order to meet the final drainage plan requirements that were laid out in a letter November 13, 2018. Shannon Frank is here, Glen Merritt is here representing Mr. Dauby, and Reed Schmitt is here representing the homeowners. I would suggest that the developer’s, Mrs. Frank and Mr. Merritt, come forward first, just to kind of tell you where they’re at on things, and, then if Mr. Schmitt would want to comment on things. I know it’s been taking a while, they’ve said they’ve had some conversations, but I think it would be better if they updated you on where we’re at on things, than for me to try to come through with that, so.

Shannon Frank: Good afternoon, Commissioners. Shannon Frank here on behalf of Dauby Properties and Development, who is the developer of Hunter Chase Condominium development. By way of quick background, since President Hatfield wasn’t in office last year when we were first dealing with this, and I believe that was the November meeting.

President Hatfield: I was here though.

Shannon Frank: Oh, okay, Yes, you were present at that meeting. Thank you.

President Hatfield: So, I’m, if that was the only meeting you had—

Shannon Frank: Yes.

President Hatfield: --I was there. You don’t have to review it.

Shannon Frank: Okay, I remember that. Thank you then. So, where we are is, Glen Merritt with Cash Waggener has been working with Ron on doing, putting together plans to submit a final drainage plan, when it came to light that there was no final plan. So, it’s not going to be an amended plan, it will be the plan. And, he’s also been working with the neighbors, as well, we’ve had, myself, Reed Schmitt, Glen, Ron Dauby and a representative of, representatives rather of the neighbors, had a meeting to talk about various matters related to the drainage plan. I believe that Glen has also, well, I know that Glen has also been in contact with Jeff, on some matters with regard to the plan. One was submitted, there were still some matters on that that Jeff Mueller wanted to be addressed on the plan, as well as the neighbors have reviewed the plan that was also submitted to Jeff Mueller, and they had some other comments that were received, I believe the beginning of last week, that Glen is currently evaluating. He can talk more specifically as to the, where the plan is.

Glen Merritt: My name is Glen Merritt, I’m with Cash Waggener & Associates. As Shannon said, probably for the last three or four weeks we’ve kind of been going back and forth. I submitted that modified drainage plan to Jeff two weeks ago, and then I received an email from him, and then turned around and we received comments from the condo owners last Tuesday. I’ve made some modifications, based on comments from Jeff and them, and then, basically, very close to resubmitting. I need to have a conversation with Reed to kind of go through their punch list, item by item, to make sure everything is addressed. But, I feel that I’m very close to having something everyone is agreeable to, to turn around and file next week. I mean, it would be my preference, I know that Drainage Board turns around and there’s one on the 5th, it would be to go to the 26th meeting, to give us time, because we do have to send out notices a week before. So, we can’t go to the 5th, because I would have had to send notices out today. So, it would be, it’s my intention to turn a plan in next week, to get anymore of Jeff’s comments, and maybe final comments from the homeowners, and then proceed to the 26th meeting for approval.

---

\(^1\) Should be final drainage plan.
Commissioner Musgrave: Is everybody agreeable to this?

President Hatfield: Thank you, Glen.

Reed Schmitt: Good afternoon, Commissioners. Reed Schmitt, I represent the homeowners. We’ve all been working cooperatively in this, and I think, just because of the next meeting occurring so quickly, we’re not going to be able to get it done within the next meeting, but I would imagine that we’ll have it done by the 25th. So, everybody is working well. Glen’s done a great job. Jeff’s done a great job. Shannon’s done a great job. We’re working cooperatively, and I think we’ll have something resolved, and for your approval at the 25th meeting.²

President Hatfield: That’s wonderful, because when I was here in November, there didn’t look like a good way out, but it sounds like you guys are close. That’s awesome.

Reed Schmitt: Well, we’ve got people who want to get it done, and know that it’s better to cooperate—

President Hatfield: Yeah.

Reed Schmitt: -- to get it done.

President Hatfield: That’s great.

Reed Schmitt: Thank you.

President Hatfield: Thank you.

Commissioner Shoulders: Thanks.

Commissioner Musgrave: Do we actually need a motion to table? Or do we just add it to the—

President Hatfield: I think they were just giving us an update.

Jeff Mueller: Well, I mean, there was a plan due for tonight, so don’t you think we should have a vote on something?

Commissioner Musgrave: Oh, I’ll move that we table this to February 26th.

Jeff Mueller: Okay, can I make just a couple comments before we go, because it would help them in their plan. One is a simple one, one of the proposals is a, in one spot a concrete swale, one foot deep with 2:1 side slopes. So, envision this, okay? So, if we decide to grant a variance to that, my question would be, if someone went out there and tripped in that concrete swale, because we were granting a variance, would that cause a problem with the county? Or, do we need to instruct them to try to look at some other alternatives? I don’t want to put the county in a position—

Commissioner Musgrave: Or can they indemnify us?

David Jones: Well, you saw the revisions that I made to those various easements and right-of-entry agreements?

Jeff Mueller: Yeah.

David Jones: I sent it to you and John Stoll.

Jeff Mueller: Yes.

David Jones: I didn’t get a response from either one, but, basically it’s dropping the insurance and replacing it with an indemnification/hold harmless agreement, which would—

Jeff Mueller: Now, this would be on something that wouldn’t be within an easement though, it would be on their property.

² Should be February 26, 2019.
David Jones: Well, you take that same language, and any approval that we’re giving, if we give that approval, then the person we’re giving it to has to indemnify and hold the county harmless.

Jeff Mueller: Okay. So, that’s the question I wanted to make sure of, is that we didn’t have a problem, if they wanted to ask for that variance, that there would be a way to address that then. Yes?

David Jones: That’s for the protection. I’m not going to make any comment on whether a 2:1 or 1:1, or—

Jeff Mueller: Oh, no, no, no, I’m not asking you for that.

David Jones: You’ll have to take that to some safety specialist or someone—

Jeff Mueller: No, I just wanted to make sure, if I move forward with saying I’m okay with the variance, that you would say we’re okay with it from a legal viewpoint.

David Jones: Yeah.

Jeff Mueller: If they sign this.

David Jones: If you put that indemnification in, I can sign off on it.

Jeff Mueller: Okay, alright. The second comment that I think is important is that the homeowners have been bringing up about drainage from the weeps and the wicks from the condos. That is a building code issue, not a drainage plan issue. So, I just wanted to make sure that we knew that. Okay, alright, those were two things that I wanted to make sure that people knew that we wouldn’t be, that could come up, so that we could keep moving ahead.

President Hatfield: We have a motion on the floor, but no second.

Commissioner Shoulders: I will second the motion.

President Hatfield: Madelyn, if you could call the roll.

Madelyn Grayson: Commissioner Shoulders?

Commissioner Shoulders: Yes.

Madelyn Grayson: Commissioner Musgrave?

Commissioner Musgrave: Yes.

Madelyn Grayson: President Hatfield?

President Hatfield: Yes.

(Motion approved 3-0)

Jeff Mueller: Good. Thank you all.

Shannon Frank: Thank you.

Jeff Mueller: Mr. Pedtke’s here, and so, just one comment. I know I gave you a proposed policy on encroachment of fences in drainage easements, and just ask that we think about it. I know it’s been a long night, so we may want to talk about it some other thing, but Bill looked at it, and Bill has some comments on that proposal.

Bill Pedtke: Thanks. Bill Pedtke with the Homebuilders Association. I just want to be real quick and mention a couple things. Number one, I ran this past the developers in my organization and some of the builders, and they’re very appreciative of this language. They think that it really helps them with some protections. As you know, a lot of times the developer has a letter of credit on a drainage easement, and they get incurred issues when the homeowners put out a fence, but it could, not just limited to fences, and Jeff’s
been very helpful with that in the past. But, what they would like to see, if this is going to be a policy, is a way, maybe we add some language, or further down the road, if we redraft, the developer wouldn’t be responsible for applications or permits that get approved going forward, as encroachments, I guess, or anything that gets built as an improvement into the drainage easement. That would be anything that got permitted or not permitted, anything that would be inside the easement, or actually not inside the easement would be included in what these comments are. So, if we all realize that there are issues in encroaching on drainage easements, where there’s a letter of credit, developers are glad to have that protection, but they would like to see it go a little bit further.

Jeff Mueller: Well, and, I think, one other thing I would like to point out, and we’ve been doing this, is I’ve had people come up to me and say, I want to change the, I want to, there’s a ditch in my backyard and I want to put a pipe in it. And, I’ll talk to John, and if there’s still a letter of credit, I’ll say, you need to talk to the developer, because he’s still responsible for that swale, until he gets his letter of credit released, and I don’t want to be making changes, agreeing to changes on the drainage plan, without the developer being included in it. So, we’ve even been taking that step, to try to make sure that, if you want to change things in your backyard, fine, but the developer needs to get out of the situation first, so that he’s not responsible for that. So, I’ll talk to you some more about that other deal later, Bill, but just, we’re moving ahead with this proposed policy, and just wanted to, maybe next meeting we can talk about it a little bit more.

President Hatfield: So, no action tonight?
Jeff Mueller: It’s been a long meeting. Yeah.
President Hatfield: Yeah.

**Ditch Maintenance Claims**

Jeff Mueller: The only other thing I have is ditch maintenance claims. And, I just, you have a summary sheet for seven claims, totaling $9,330.81. This is all for work previously approved by this Board, with one exception, and that’s the claim for Harper Ditch, which has an additional total amount of work of $600. Which, on this particular bill is $510. What I want to show you is the reason why we gave, added $600. See all of these trees right here? This is Harper Ditch. These trees here, and my concern was that, one of these days those are going to pierce the corrugated pipe. So, we gave a contract to clear all of that out, that was all agreed to by you all, but when it got done, if you can see down here, there’s some piles of dirt and an air conditioner and some crap like that, and we wanted to go ahead and get that stuff hauled off. So, the contractor asked for an additional $600 to haul that off. So, it was, I can’t remember what the exact contract was, it was for like $7,000 to do the work. So, we told them to go ahead while he was there and get that done. So, you’ve approved everything, except for that little extra amount of work.

Commissioner Musgrave: Motion to approve—
Jeff Mueller: And, so, I’m asking that you—
Commissioner Musgrave: --the claims, including that.
Jeff Mueller: Yes.
President Hatfield: Ben?
Commissioner Shoulders: I will second the motion.
President Hatfield: Madelyn, could you call the roll?
Madelyn Grayson: Commissioner Shoulders?
Commissioner Shoulders: Yes.
Madelyn Grayson: Commissioner Musgrave?
Commissioner Musgrave: Yes.
President Hatfield: Yes. The motion carries.

(Motion approved 3-0)

Public Comment

Jeff Mueller: I have nothing else, unless you all do.

President Hatfield: Alright, so there’s no other business? From the audience, no public comment?

Adjournment

President Hatfield: Motion to adjourn?

Commissioner Shoulders: So moved.

Commissioner Musgrave: Second.

President Hatfield: All of those in favor say aye.

All Commissioners: Aye.

Commissioner Shoulders: Thank you all.

(The meeting was adjourned at 5:16 p.m.)
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