The Vanderburgh County Drainage Board met in session this 22nd day of August, 2017 at 3:58 p.m. in Room 301 of the Civic Center Complex with Vice President Ben Shoulders presiding.

**Call to Order**

Commissioner Shoulders: Now, I would like to begin the Drainage Board meeting.

**Pledge of Allegiance**

Commissioner Shoulders: So, at this time, do we want to open bids first?

Jeff Mueller: Can we do the Pledge first?

Commissioner Shoulders: Or, first the Pledge of Allegiance. I'll for Commissioner Ungethiem to lead us in the Pledge of Allegiance please.

(The Pledge of Allegiance was given.)

**Approval of the August 1, 2017 Drainage Board Meeting Minutes**

Commissioner Ungethiem: I would make a motion to approve the last meeting’s minutes.

Commissioner Shoulders: So moved?

Joe Harrison, Jr.: It was his motion.

President Musgrave: I will second it.

Commissioner Shoulders: There you go. Okay. So, all in favor say aye.

All Commissioners: Aye.

(Motion approved 3-0)

**Permission to Open Bids for Kolb Ditch & Crawford Brandeis Extension**

Commissioner Shoulders: At this time now we would like to open bids for Kolb and Crawford Brandeis Extension.

Commissioner Ungethiem: I would like to—

Jeff Mueller: Yeah, okay, go ahead. I’m sorry.

Commissioner Ungethiem: I would like to make a motion to open the bids for Kolb and Crawford Brandeis Extension.

President Musgrave: Second.

Commissioner Shoulders: I’ve got a second. All in favor say aye.

All Commissioners: Aye.

Commissioner Shoulders: Same sign nay. No?

(None opposed)

Commissioner Ungethiem: Okay.

(Motion approved 3-0)
Jeff Mueller: Just to remind you what those are, because these are a little bit special. One is to remove a structure that’s failed. So, this is out on Kolb Ditch. This is the structure that we’re going to be getting rid of. We’re going to open this back up to a normal ditch. As a problem to this, and the reason it hasn’t been done before, it’s difficult to access this area. So, we’re going to need access to two yards. We have received verbal approval from both parties. Mr. Harrison has went over an easement for us on that. I am asking that, since there’s going to be follow up work both parties are going to have to do, as far as, you know, we’re going to tear their lawns up, they’re going to have to go out and water their grass. One lady, her mailbox is getting moved. It’s going to be put back, but she’s got a flower garden there. So, I am requesting that we give $100 easement payment to each party to cover those little incidental expenses. The second thing is on Crawford, by the way, this is Kolb. So, like I said, this structure is going to come out. We’re going to come back here and re-slope this and put some rip rap in here and get this looking a lot nicer than it is. The area where we’re fixing that is right down in here. We’re going to access coming up through this yard right here, removing this fence and coming back here, and then we’re regrading this area back in here. So, that’s Mr. Doan, and what’s the lady, it’s Bernadine—

Linda Freeman: Walter McGill.

Jeff Mueller: Yeah, a former school teacher. She’ll keep them in line out there. She’s kept us in line out there. On Crawford Brandeis, what we want to do is, this segment of the ditch has not been maintained in several years. We want to come back and open the slopes up right through here. By doing that, that will slow the water coming through here. Also, in doing that, we hope to cut down on some of the erosion on this side. The problem is, to access this, if you try to access it from the north it’s through Lynch Road, and you have to come down a steep bank and through a right-of-way. Coming from the south you have quite a ways to go. The easiest way to access it is down through Peacock Lane, crossing a private bridge right here. Now we’ve met with the landowner, and we’re going to be on his land anyway. He’s open to things, although he is requesting, he wants to know what kind of equipment is going to be crossing the bridge before he gives final permission to do so. I do want to remind Commissioner Musgrave, this rezoning came up on Area Plan, as you’ll recall, the work we’re doing is going to help the ditch. It’s not really going to help the flooding. So, if that gets brought up in the future, I just want to help you out with that one. So, anyway, so, that’s the two projects that we’re bidding on, and like I said, because of the difficulty with some issues, these didn’t come up in the spring. Okay? So, with that, I’ll move on and we can come back when we do it.

Commissioner Shoulders: Yeah, please.

Jeff Mueller: Okay.

---

Stockfleth Ditch Discharge

Jeff Mueller: Next is—

Commissioner Shoulders: Stockfleth.

Jeff Mueller: --okay, is the Stockfleth Ditch discharge. On, if you’ll recall, this is the canal that runs along the Norfolk Southern Railroad. This is Stockfleth Ditch, Stockfleth comes up from the south and works its way underneath Oak Grove Road and comes up through here. On August 15th I was notified by the City Engineer’s Office of a discharge coming off of IMI’s southwest property, which is right in here. That afternoon I went out with Karan Barnhill of the City Engineer’s Office, and we went over to Stockfleth and we found that there was a, this is the discharge on Maxwell Avenue. We found this plume going into the Stockfleth Ditch. I sent an email to IMI, and Karan also sent a violation letter. I requested that someone from IMI be present tonight to discuss this issue and their plans to address the situation. I think there’s someone here from IMI. If you would like to come up and speak and tell us what’s going on.

Brett Baker: Thanks. I am Brett Baker with IMI Concrete located here in Evansville. We are aware of this. Jeff Mueller had sent us the email, and we are undertaking measures to correct this at this time. Several things that we have done already; we have waste material, which is leftover concrete that comes back to our location that we are cleaning
up and moving out of this location, so it will no longer be there, and it will no longer discharge, I believe it was an illicit discharge?

Jeff Mueller: Yes. Well, I mean, it was a pH of 12.

Brett Baker: Yeah, illicit discharge, and mostly because we had some leftover concrete material in that area. Another thing that we’re doing to address this, we have a pH machine that we are ordering and we hope to install very soon to control the pH for the water runoff of our facility. We previously had an ecosystem intact, and with the amount of storm water and material that has been on the property, we’ve been unable to control the pH to the balance that we typically have in the past. So, we’re actually ordering a machine, I have, I can send Mr. Mueller, or anybody that would like to see it, that machine that we’re going to install and control the water runoff pH. Should see drastic improvement already on our property. We’ve already taken measures to clean up the lot and make it look a lot more presentable already. Over the next month or two, there will be even more drastic measures to clean that up. We want to be a good community advocate and do everything we can to keep a clean site and follow the rules. So, that’s kind of what we’ve done so far. Any questions?

Commissioner Ungethiem: You say you’re going to order a pH monitoring system?

Brett Baker: Yeah.

Commissioner Ungethiem: Or is it a monitoring and control?

Brett Baker: It’s monitoring and control.

Commissioner Ungethiem: Okay.

Brett Baker: So, it actually, the discharge will be controlled by this machine.

Commissioner Ungethiem: And, who’s going to maintain that piece of equipment?

Brett Baker: Our employees at this site will.

Commissioner Ungethiem: Okay, pH monitoring equipment is very touchy equipment. It has a tendency, with the nature of how it is sensing the pH, it has a nature to foul quite quickly. So, I would suggest that that monitoring being done on the equipment itself on a daily basis. Because, if you don’t, and your probe fouls, then you get a false reading, and you start introducing material to try to balance the pH when you don’t really need to, and you go from high to low and back. pH is a difficult thing to control, but I think Jeff understands that as well. We had a lot of issues trying to automatically control pH, and we finally gave up and went back to manual sampling.

Jeff Mueller: Yeah, on my end it was always low pH, you may have been trying to handle high pH.

Commissioner Ungethiem: Yes.

Jeff Mueller: That’s what they’re trying to handle is high pH’s.

Commissioner Ungethiem: But, either way, when the probe fouls, then your automatic control system ceases to work. So, I would caution you that that probe is very susceptible to fouling. So, keep that in mind as you go through that.

Brett Baker: Okay.

Jeff Mueller: I guess, my only comment is I understand that they have a Rule 6 permit from the State. So, the State is going to kind of be their overall policeman. I would like to be kept in the loop on what’s going on. Naturally our main concern is that we just don’t want to see these high pH waters, because it will tend to, it will tend to help get more growth in those ditches than what we really want it to be. So, if you would send me whatever information you have and just keep me in the loop, that’s what we’re after.

Brett Baker: Absolutely.
Jeff Mueller: We appreciate you coming tonight and addressing the Board. I don’t know if the Board’s got any other comments.

Commissioner Shoulders: Jeff, we don’t need a motion for the discharge?

Jeff Mueller: No.

Commissioner Shoulders: This is more….okay.

Commissioner Musgrave: Can you go over again, did you cite them, fine them?

Jeff Mueller: I don’t have a citing ability, because it is a, the city did, they gave them a notice of violation, but I don’t have a citing ability. It’s a water quality issue. So, but, because it was going into our stream, that’s why I wanted to bring it to the Board, especially in case anybody else brought it to you, that you guys, that the Board knew about it. So, and weren’t caught off guard by it or anything.

Commissioner Shoulders: Gotcha.

Commissioner Ungethiem: Alright, thank you.

Brett Baker: Thank you.

Jeff Mueller: Alright, thank you. I will go out and (Inaudible) on that. Okay?

---

**9201 Oak Hill Road: Drainage Plan Revision**

Jeff Mueller: Are we ready for 9201 Oak Hill Road drainage plan? Okay, this is a revision to a drainage plan for this site. This is the new roundabout at Oak Hill and Baseline Road. This is the property in question. PPMI is actually located there now. What happened was, it was found that when they tried to give us an as-built for the site, that the drainage was not constructed properly and some work had not been completed. The revision to this drainage plan is for some alterations to the basin, and for use of HDP pipe instead of concrete. Both of these revisions, by code, require the approval goes through the Board. The revised plan consists of revisions to drawing C-502 and C-102 and two tables that were submitted on August 18, 2017. I don’t have any issues with the proposed changes, and I’m just bringing them to you for your approval.

President Musgrave: Move approval.

Commissioner Ungethiem: Second.

Commissioner Shoulders: All in favor say aye.

All Commissioners: Aye.

Commissioner Shoulders: All opposed same sign.

(None opposed.)

Commissioner Shoulders: The motion passes.

(Motion approved 3-0)

---

**Blue Heron, Lot 62: Waiver and Release**

Commissioner Shoulders: Jeff, what’s next, Blue Heron? Or, do you have something else on Oak Hill?

Jeff Mueller: No, we haven’t got anything else on Oak Hill. Okay, next is on Blue Heron. On that you’ve got a waiver on Lot 62. This is the waiver to do away with the planned drainage swale in the backyard. This is at the top of the drainage and carries only water from the lot, and therefore the owner is requesting that the swale not be constructed. I’ve had a number of conversations with Mr. Lodato, and he’s came to this Board before and also sent you all a letter. He is fine with what’s going on out there now, and supports
having this waiver be done away with. Then they’re also going to vacate the drainage easement later on. So, we’ve got one satisfied customer out there.

Commissioner Ungethiem: I have a motion to approve the waiver on Lot 62 of Blue Heron.

President Musgrave: Second.

Commissioner Shoulders: All in favor say aye.

All Commissioners: Aye.

Commissioner Shoulders: All opposed same sign.

(None opposed.)

Commissioner Shoulders: That motion passes.

(Motion approved 3-0)

Vanderburgh Industrial Park (VIP): Revised Drainage Plan

Jeff Mueller: Next is on VIP, if you recall, I’m going to go through just a little history, it won’t take a second, and then you’ll be able to understand what’s going on out there. On March 18, 2014 the site drainage plan was approved for Lot 8 for the construction of the 100,000 square foot building that you see is now built. The building was constructed, however the basin, which is up in, let’s see, in this corner right here, was never completed to the design specifications. A letter notifying of the deficiencies was submitted on October 30, 2015. On June 6, 2017, this Board approved a revised drainage plan that would have allowed expansion of the existing spec building. That plan was going to call for filling in the basin, therefore they really didn’t need to fix it. Plus building over the drainage ditch that goes through there, construction of a new basin and construction of a railroad spur. That brings us to today. On a short term basis, the plan is still to extend the railroad spur and to add the improvements of the existing building, such as parking. However, right now they’re not going to be moving ahead with the drainage plan portion for the expansion of the building. So, that’s going to mean that they’re back to requiring the utilizing of the basin that needs improvement. So, what you have as a plan is kind of a hybrid of the two plans you’ve approved before. For the spec building, plus the railroad spur, and therefore going ahead and completing the basin, as is, but then also realizing that when they move ahead with the construction of the new—

Commissioner Shoulders: Spec.

Jeff Mueller: — relocated drain and the new basin and all of that, that piece will stay still in effect. So, the thing that you’re doing right now is essentially saying that you’re fine with the first plan, plus the second plan, but also that you, in your file you’ve got a copy of a letter from the developer stating that the basin deficiency would be corrected, and I would request that as a matter of us signing off on the certificate of occupancy that they get that basin completed, which that’s what they have, they’ve said they’re going to get the basin done this fall.

Commissioner Shoulders: Yeah.

Jeff Mueller: So, a pretty minor change, but kind of going through the history, everything is moving ahead. What I understood from the developer is, essentially they kind of wanted to do the permitting, the company that’s coming in to take the building, they didn’t want to do the full blown permit and all of the dirt moving and everything right now. They kind of want to phase through things. So, that’s what necessitated this change.

Commissioner Shoulders: So, in regards to the VIP revised drainage plan, unless there are any additional comments, Bruce, it looks like—

Commissioner Ungethiem: I would make a motion to approve the revised drainage plan for VIP.

President Musgrave: Second.
Commissioner Shoulders: I have a motion and a second. All in favor please say aye.

All Commissioners: Aye.

Commissioner Shoulders: All opposed same sign.

(None opposed.)

Commissioner Shoulders: That motion passes for VIP revised drainage plan.

(Motion approved 3-0)

### Enclave Mitigation Site: Bolin Lake

Jeff Mueller: Okay, next I just wanted to bring up two things on The Enclave. First, as this occurred before any of you were on the Board, but I do think that Mr. Ungethiem was kind of aware of this piece. When The Enclave site was developed, there was some wetlands and some jurisdictional streams that were filled without the required permits from state and federal agencies. So, therefore, as a requirement to mitigate these issues, the Corps and IDEM the developer was required, from these agencies, was required to do off-site mitigation. So, the off-site mitigation site is this area right here, and it’s at the corner of Christ and Kentucky Avenue. The Corps in an inspection of the site found a significant amount of site erosion from The Orchard Subdivision, which is also being developed by some of the same personnel at The Enclave. The City Storm Water Inspector was notified by the Corps of the problem, and the city has issued a fine. I just bring this to your attention so that you know about this, since it is tied to The Enclave. Okay, second on The Enclave, a little more recent, is that on Friday, John Stoll, the County Engineer, and myself met with Ted Ziemer IV. Mr. Ziemer is the attorney for Barbara Bolin, who’s lake is over here to the east. If you recall, Ms. Bolin has been in before this Board before, and she’s had issues with the development and got IDEM involved. It’s our understanding that there are discussions between her attorney, her and her attorney and the developer and their insurance company on possibly reaching a resolution to this lake, which would require them coming in and doing some dredging. The reason we met with Mr. Ziemer was, two of the scenarios they’re looking at would be to dredge the material out of this lake and pump it across over on to this site somewhere. Where that would get the county involved in would be, they would either (a) take the pipe underneath and through a culvert here, which is actually in State right-of-way, so they would have to talk to the State. Mr. Stoll, I think, has already contacted Randy Archer of INDOT to let him know that's one suggestion. The other thing would be for them to bore a pipe underneath a county road. Right now they haven't got any sure solutions, they just kind of wanted to see if there was any roadblocks from us, which I don’t think John or I have anything, because they’re trying to sit there and put some figures together to, you know, approach the backside to say, well, if we do it this way and the county will let us, or the State will let us, we’re looking at this much money or this much money. But, this is something that could come back before the Board, so I just wanted to make you aware that there is some movement going on out there, and that’s what’s happened there.

Commissioner Ungethiem: Jeff, is there a preference one way or another whether we go through the existing culvert or put a new pipe through?

Jeff Mueller: I think the existing culvert issue would be the fact that if the State, you know, might be a little concerned that, you know, the one day that you had, you know, had it through there for a week, then all of a sudden you got that six inch gully washer and all of a sudden the pipe isn’t going to handle the water. So, whether they’re going to be concerned about that. From your issue, I think Mr. Stoll will tell you is that, you know, if they were going to go underneath the county road, they would just put, you know, they would bore underneath it and they would come in and fill the pipe in, pump it full. So, I don’t think that’s going to be an issue from you all, but it’s just a matter of who’s permission they’ve got to get, which direction they want to run.

Commissioner Ungethiem: Well, my thought process is, on occasion, when we have that six inch rain, that water actually goes down through that ditch so fast that it overruns the road. If there’s a location through there where another culvert would be nice to have, we
would go ahead and tell them to bore that, put that culvert in and leave it there so we
won’t have this much of a chance of that road flooding during that six inch rain.

Jeff Mueller: I mean, that’s fine. I mean, whatever is the pleasure of the Board. You know,
like I said, I just kind of wanted to bring you up on what they’re planning on doing, and it
looks like there’s, looks like we’re moving ahead out there on resolving that situation. You
know, I bring that up, because as you recall, there’s been four phases of The Enclave
that have been platted. The fifth phase has been on hold, and it has been for two
remaining house lots here and for the commercial site. That, the Board, you all have
withheld allowing that to occur. So, I thought that you all would want to know what’s going
on with The Enclave, so one day if they come in and say, we’re ready, and you ask me
where are we at? Well, hopefully we can say, we’re good, but we’re not there yet.

Commissioner Ungethiem: Okay.

Commissioner Shoulders: Jeff, just out of curiosity, loose time frame wise, I mean, do
you think, I mean, what these things take a little while, but do you think it will still be this year? I
worry that when it’s starts getting colder out in the fall and winter, then we’re into ’18.

Jeff Mueller: I don’t know, Mr. Shoulders, because I know that they’re dealing with the
insurance companies.

Commissioner Shoulders: Sure.

Jeff Mueller: I think, right now, what they were trying to do was get some estimates back
to the insurance company and go from there. I know that one of the issues is Mrs. Bolin
is concerned that if they clean the lake, well what happens if anything else gets disturbed
up there?

Commissioner Shoulders: Exactly. That’s where I was going with that.

Jeff Mueller: Those are the issues that are going on out there. Like I said, Mr. Ziemer just
got with us to see if there was anything that
we would be saying, no, we’re definitely
against you doing this.

Commissioner Shoulders: Don’t get me wrong, I’m very glad things are moving finally on
this.

Jeff Mueller: Yes.

Commissioner Shoulders: But, I was just curious as to—

Jeff Mueller: No time frame was given to us on a potential.

Commissioner Shoulders: Yeah, okay. Good, alright. Thanks, Jeff.

| Ditch Maintenance Claims |

Jeff Mueller: That’s all I have other than coming back to the...oh, I’m sorry, one other
thing, ditch maintenance claims. I’ve got four claims, which are summarized on your
spreadsheet for $4,551. All claims are for previously approved work by the Board. I would
ask for your approval.

President Musgrave: Move approval.

Commissioner Ungethiem: Second.

Commissioner Shoulders: I have a first and a second. So, all in favor please say aye.

All Commissioners: Aye.

Commissioner Shoulders: All opposed same sign.

(None opposed.)

Commissioner Shoulders: The motion passes.
(Motion approved 3-0)

Reading of Bids for Kolb & Crawford Brandeis

Commissioner Shoulders: What else do you got, Jeff. Let’s see here—

Jeff Mueller: It looks like we’ve got some bids.

President Musgrave: Move approval of the prior minutes.

Joe Harrison, Jr.: Oh, no, we’re doing bids.

Commissioner Shoulders: Bids.

President Musgrave: Bids?

Joe Harrison, Jr.: Bids.

Commissioner Shoulders: We did the minutes.

President Musgrave: What is wrong with me?

Jeff Mueller: Did you want to—

Commissioner Shoulders: No, you’re good.

Jeff Mueller: Did you want to read in the record the bids?

Joe Harrison, Jr.: Yeah, Kolb lump sum, Allen Relleke Excavating submitted a bid of $24,000; McCullough Land Improvements, LLC submitted a bid of $13,830; and Niemeier Property Solutions submitted a bid of $12,684.45. Do you want me to read the rest of it with the hourly rate and all of that?

Jeff Mueller: Those additional rates were just put in there, because, you know, sometimes when you get into these projects and we say, well, you know, we would like a little bit more done—

Joe Harrison, Jr.: Okay.

Jeff Mueller: --and I want to make sure that, I’ve had projects in which the bids have been real close, but then one guy on an hourly rate has been way high.

Joe Harrison, Jr.: Okay.

Jeff Mueller: Then, all of a sudden, we ended up not getting the lowest bid when we just had a minor amount of work done.

Joe Harrison, Jr.: Well, I’ll tell you this, on Relleke his per ton, large per ton fee, I guess, $44; his small per ton is $42; his hourly rate is $140. McCullough, who submitted a bid of $13,830, large per ton fee, $30.50; small per ton fee, $30.50; the hourly rate is $115. Niemeier Property Solutions, again, their bid is $12,684.45, large per ton fee, $22.10; small per ton, $21.40; the hourly rate is $125. So, those are the bids on the Kolb lump sum. I would ask that you take those under advisement and let Mr. Mueller review them and come back to us at the next meeting.

Linda Freeman: Then Crawford Brandeis.

Joe Harrison, Jr.: I’m going to do that also.

Commissioner Shoulders: So, need a motion for Mr. Mueller to take all bids under advisement, including—

Joe Harrison, Jr.: No, just the Kolb right now.

Commissioner Shoulders: Just the Kolb?

Joe Harrison, Jr.: Yeah.
Commissioner Shoulders: For now, or until our next meeting?

Commissioner Ungethiem: I move that we take the Kolb bids under advisement and have Mr. Mueller come back to us with a recommendation.

President Musgrave: I’ll second that.

Commissioner Shoulders: I have a first and a second. All in favor please say aye.

All Commissioners: Aye.

Commissioner Shoulders: All opposed same sign.

(None opposed.)

(Motion approved 3-0)

Joe Harrison, Jr.: Now, I guess, Crawford Brandeis/Peacock Lane, is that what that is?

Jeff Mueller: Yes.

Linda Freeman: The other project.

Joe Harrison, Jr.: Yeah, that’s the other project. One bid submitted by Allen Relleke Excavating for $7,500. There were specs included. The other bid was from McCullough Land Improvements, LLC for $2,946, and, yes, there were specs included. Do you want to award that, or take it under advisement?

Jeff Mueller: Do you want to go ahead?

Linda Freeman: Yeah, I think we should. The specs that you’re talking about is we’re crossing a private bridge on Peacock Lane, and the gentleman wanted to know exactly what was coming across.

Jeff Mueller: Yeah, I was going to say, we can actually—

Linda Freeman: We still have to come up with an agreement, I mean, we could award the bid, but we still have to come up with an agreement as far as what the county needs to be—

Jeff Mueller: Right.

Linda Freeman: --for a hold harmless—

Joe Harrison, Jr.: Okay.

Linda Freeman: --for the equipment crossing the bridge.

Joe Harrison, Jr.: So, what do you want to do?

Jeff Mueller: We could do it contingent on us filing that, and then also on—

Joe Harrison, Jr.: Well, why don’t we take it under advisement as well.

Jeff Mueller: Okay.

Joe Harrison, Jr.: Since you’re going to do them both probably at the same time at the next meeting, aren’t you?

Linda Freeman: Okay.

Joe Harrison, Jr.: I mean, there’s going to have to be a (Inaudible.)

Jeff Mueller: Yeah, like I said, we’re going to have to run the specs by him.

Linda Freeman: And, we’ll have to work with you to get some kind of agreement.

Joe Harrison, Jr.: Sounds good.

Commissioner Shoulders: So, do we need a motion for that too then?
Joe Harrison, Jr.: Yeah, a motion to take those bids under advisement, let Mr. Mueller look at them and come back with a recommendation at the next meeting.

Commissioner Ungethiem: So moved.

President Musgrave: Second.

Jeff Mueller: Yeah, Mr. Clouse that owns the bridge, I mean, he’s had people come out and look at it, and if you had the fire department come out and look at it and they said they didn’t have any problem taking a 30,000 pound fire truck across the bridge. So, he was fine as long as long as the excavator is going to be smaller than that, or was it 30 ton?

Linda Freeman: It was 30 ton.

Jeff Mueller: Yeah, that’s right.

Linda Freeman: Yeah, because when he said 30,000, the one gentleman turned around and went, oh, well my something is that much.

Jeff Mueller: Yeah, that’s right it was 30 tons.

Linda Freeman: 30 ton.

Jeff Mueller: And, so, I think his main concern is making sure that somebody doesn’t take too large of an excavator over it.

President Musgrave: How large is this bridge?

Jeff Mueller: It’s a pretty stout bridge. It’s pretty stout.

Linda Freeman: It’s pretty good.

Commissioner Ungethiem: (Inaudible) semi across it.

Commissioner Shoulders: I don’t think (Inaudible).

Jeff Mueller: Yeah, Mr. Clouse, we’ve got a good working relationship with him. He’s wanting to get the work done, and he’s been really good about working with us on this whole deal. So, but I just want to make sure that he feels good about it, and we come up with an agreement that’s good with everybody.

Commissioner Shoulders: Good deal. So, we have a first and a second. So, all in favor please say aye.

All Commissioners: Aye.

Commissioner Shoulders: All opposed same sign.

(None opposed.)

Commissioner Shoulders: The motion passes.

(Motion approved 3-0)

Approval of Temporary Easement Grants: Kolb Ditch Project

Commissioner Shoulders: Any other new business, Mr. Mueller? Or…yes?

Linda Freeman: Actually I do have a question, because of these temporary easement grants—

Commissioner Shoulders: Yeah.

Linda Freeman: --we were going to give them $100 for the grants, and then, I am a notary, so, I mean, it’s just an easement grant.

Joe Harrison, Jr.: That’s fine.
Linda Freeman: I can run these out there, get them signed.

Joe Harrison, Jr.: You might want to make a motion to approve those.

Commissioner Shoulders: We need a motion for the temporary grants, $100 each or total?

Linda Freeman: $100 each.

Jeff Mueller: Each.

Commissioner Shoulders: $100 each, $200 total. I need a motion.

Commissioner Ungethiem: So moved.

President Musgrave: Second.

Commissioner Shoulders: All in favor please say aye.

All Commissioners: Aye.

Commissioner Shoulders: All opposed same sign.

(None opposed.)

Commissioner Shoulders: The motion passes to approve the grants.

(Motion approved 3-0)

Jeff Mueller: Good, that way we can go ahead and move and get that paperwork done before the next meeting.

Public Comment

Commissioner Shoulders: Any public comment? Oh…Bruce?

Commissioner Ungethiem: Jeff, one thought, we’re going to be doing some work out at Burdette Park. They’re going to be dredging the lake. I think that contract went out today, as I recall. It was in the consent items, the lake dredging? Anyway, they’re going to dredge the lake, they also have an issue on the ditch that runs along the side of Burdette Park. It continues to fill, and my understanding is there’s some problem downstream, and I was wondering if you might be able to take a look at that and give us a recommendation of what we need to do, because the water is backed up into the park right now. So, if you have an opportunity to go out to Burdette Park and—

Jeff Mueller: I can, of course, you realize that if it’s—

Commissioner Ungethiem: I understand it’s private property.

Jeff Mueller: -- a blockage, we might be talking about you guys actually filing an obstruction petition. I, you know, of course, I can’t actually, it’s only what I can see from the road, you know.

President Musgrave: Just do whatever (Inaudible).

Commissioner Ungethiem: See what’s causing the issue—

Jeff Mueller: Yeah, I know, I mean, I can do that.

Commissioner Ungethiem: --(Inaudible) maybe, or whatever.

Jeff Mueller: You know, the first thing would be to ask nicely if we can get somebody to clean it, or if you guys would want to work with them.

Commissioner Ungethiem: The concern that I have is it’s standing, stagnant water right next to the park.

Jeff Mueller: Yeah.
Commissioner Ungethiem: It's a great place for mosquitos to breed.

Jeff Mueller: Yeah, but, yeah we'll go down and see what we can do within reason of staying on public property.

Commissioner Ungethiem: Okay.

Jeff Mueller: Okay?

--- Adjournment ---

Commissioner Ungethiem: Motion to adjourn.

President Musgrave: Second.

Commissioner Shoulders: Second? Okay. All in favor please say to adjourn.

All Commissioners: Aye.

(Motion approved 3-0)

Commissioner Shoulders: We are adjourned.

(The meeting was adjourned at 4:27 p.m.)
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